arXiv:1206.1245v2 [math.DS] 20 Nov 2012

THE HERMAN CONJECTURE

MAURICIO GARAY

Abstract. We develop the theory of group actions in infinite dimensional spaces and prove an abstract KAM theorem in this setting. Combining this theorem with Martinet's proof of versal deformations for hypersurface singularities, we deduce a C^∞ Birkhoff normal form over a positive measure set. This answers positively Herman's invariant tori conjecture.

Introduction

Most perturbative series arising in mathematical physics diverge. Poincar's theorem for the case of the Delaunay-Lindstedt series in the restricted three body problem is among the most celebrated examples of this phenomenon. Following the work of Poincar, Siegel showed that the set of analytic functions for which these are convergent in the neighbourhood of a critical point is meager in Baire's sense [24, 38, 40] (see also [23]).

Quite opposite in spirit to these negative results, Poincar and Siegel proved, in some cases, the convergence for the series which linearise a holomorphic vector field [26, 39]. These led to the invariant tori theorem of Kolmogorov and KAM theory [1, 17, 21].

In the nineties, Herman asked for the existence of invariant tori in other situations. In 1998, during his ICM lecture, he made the following conjecture for discrete time hamiltonian systems [13] :

In the neighbourhood of a diophantine elliptic fixed point, a real analytic symplectomorphism has a positive measure set of invariant tori.

Herman conjectured also the following two variants for continuous time hamiltonian dynamics :

In the neighbourhood of a torus carrying a quasi-periodic motion with diophantine frequency, a real analytic hamiltonian has a positive measure set of invariant tori.

In the neighbourhood of an elliptic critical point with diophantine frequency, a real analytic hamiltonian has a positive measure set of invariant tori.

In this paper, we prove these conjectures by counterbalancing the negative results of Poincar and Siegel with the existence of a C^∞ Birkhoff normal form over a positive measure set, that is, a KAM version of the Birkhoff normal form. Our result is stronger than that conjectured by Herman : we will see that the diophantine condition can be relaxed and replaced by a condition of Bruno type. Moreover, the set of invariant tori is parametrised, not only by a positive measure set, but by a set of density equal to one at each of its point.

Although the initial proof of Arnold is almost fifty years old, the proofs of the classical KAM theorem are still often cumbersome and many important details rely on tedious computations. To clarify the situation, Moser proposed a theoretical approach based on infinite dimensional group actions and implicit function theorems [20]. After the work of Zehnder, this led Bost and Herman to a relatively simple proof of the invariant torus theorem [4, 44].

Unlike this traditional approach, we consider direct limits of topological vector space rather than inverse ones, LB-spaces rather than Frchet spaces. This restricts the field of application to the analytic setting. The resulting spaces do not satisfy the Baire property but this is of no importance for our purposes. So although, our approach looks from an exterior point of view very similar to the usual Frchet space setting, it is in fact different [9, 34, 44]. Indeed, as Zehnder wrote ([44, Chapter 5]), there is probably not much beyond the implicit function theorem in the differentiable case, while in the analytic case, there is a theory of group actions in infinite dimensional spaces, as suggested by Moser. The main point is that in the differentiable case, the use of implicit function theorems requires to invert the differential in a whole neighbourhood of the identity while in the analytic case, by avoiding implicit function theorems and working directly with group actions, we get a theorem which requires to invert the differential only at one point. We already observed this phenomenon with Fjoz in a simplified case [8].

Then, we go further and formulate KAM theory in this abstract setting. Both the classical KAM theorem and the Herman conjecture become particular cases of a unique generalised KAM theorem which occupies most of the paper. In this way, we unify KAM theory with standard deformation theory of maps and varieties and also with the theory of normal forms in semi-classical analysis [2, 42, 36].

Now, how a generalisation of the KAM theorem might be related to the Birkhoff normal form might seem unclear at first glance. Indeed, while KAM theory deals with deformations of invariant lagrangian tori, there is apparently nothing that gets deformed in the computation of the Birkhoff normal form. In fact, there is some invariant lagrangian variety but it invisible from the real geometry since it has only one real point. Thus, after complexification, we are in a similar situation to Kolmogorov's version of the KAM theorem : there is an initial invariant lagrangian variety that we wish to deform into a family of invariant lagrangian varieties.

Such situation, where a smooth fibre - in our case a torus- degenerates into a singular one, is familiar to algebraic geometers although the case of lagrangian degenerations as only be treated more recently [35] (see also [10, 41]). This interpretation of KAM theory in terms of deformation theory leads to a non trivial algorithm for the Birkhoff normal form, where non-degenerate deformations, in the sense of Kolmogorov, play the role of versal deformations. So, combining KAM iteration with Martinet's homotopical trick leads to the construction of a Birkhoff normalisation over a positive measure set [19]. I could not prove such a result using the naive construction of the Birkhoff normal form.

Whatever we chose for the normalisation process, the resulting formal power series is always the Birkhoff normal form and is therefore unique. In [7], Eliasson addressed the problem of convergence of this power series (see also [23]). Now, in light of our result, this happens to be a particular case of the more general problem, already stated by Sevryuk in [37] : what is the maximal Gevrey regularity that one can expect for the dependence of the KAM-tori with respect to parameters? It is rather doubtful that the answer would be that this dependence is analytic.

In any case, both the KAM theorem and the Herman conjecture state that the existence of an invariant lagrangian variety implies the existence of an invariant lagrangian deformation of this variety over a positive measure set. One may ask if such a result holds in general, but this seems, for the moment, out of reach.

Note that the deformations arising in KAM theory are not usual ones, in the sense of algebraic geometers. Like for the case of invariant tori in nearly integrable system, the family is parametrised by a completely disconnected set of positive measure. So, it seems that KAM theory is a part of a branch of mathematics which could be summarised by the motto : *deformation theory for lagrangian varieties over disconnected basis.* Moser's idea of reducing the usual KAM theorem to a parametric KAM theorem might be considered as the first avatar of it [21] (see also [30]).

Acknowledgements. This work would not exist without the help J. Fjoz which I thank sincerely. Our joint work [8] was the starting point of this research. I thank also H. Eliasson and B. Fayad and R. Krikorian for sharing their experience on the Herman conjecture and pointing out some of the difficulties in order to reach its solution. Thanks also to J.-C. Yoccoz for his comments on the text and to G. Popov for explanations about his work on Gevrey regularity. This research is supported by the Max Planck Institut fr Mathematik in Bonn and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft project, SFB-TR 45, M086, *Lagrangian geometry of integrable systems.*

1. ARITHMETIC DENSITY

1.1. **Arithmetic classes.**

Definition 1.1. *A real positive increasing sequence* $p := (p_n)$ *is called of exponential class* $A \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *if there exists a positive real number* $B < A$ *and a real constant* C *such that* $p_n \leq Ce^{B^n}$ *for all* n*'s.*

The set of sequences with a given exponential class is stable under addition and multiplication.

Definition 1.2. *A real positive increasing sequence* $p := (p_n)$ *is called of* (C, τ)*-class if* $p_n \leq C2^{n\tau}$ *for all* n*'s.*

We denote by $[\cdot]$ the integer part (also called the *floor function*) :

$$x \leq [x] < x + 1,\ \ [x] \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark that a (C, τ)-sequence is automatically of exponential class but in fact we have more :

Lemma 1.1. *For any* (C, τ)*-sequence* p*, the sequence* p' *defined by*

$$p'_n := \sup_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k!)^{[\tau]+1}} p_n^k$$

is of exponential class 2^α *with* $\alpha := \frac{[\tau]+1}{\tau}$*.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $C > 1$. We define

$$t := 2^{n/\alpha}.$$

As $(k!)^n \geq 3^{-n} k^{nk} \geq 3^{-n}(nk)!$, we have

$$\frac{1}{(k!)^{\alpha\tau}} p_n^k \leq C^k \frac{t^{\alpha k\tau}}{(k!)^{\alpha\tau}} \leq \frac{(3C)^{\alpha\tau k} t^{\alpha k\tau}}{(\alpha\tau k)!}.$$

As $t^p/p! < e^t$, we get the estimate

$$\frac{3^{\alpha\tau k} t^{\alpha k\tau}}{(\alpha\tau k)!} < e^{3t}.$$

This shows that

$$p'_n \leq e^{3C(2^{1/\alpha})^n},$$

and proves the lemma. □

This lemma is extracted from Popov's proof of the Gevrey regularity for invariant tori in perturbed integrable systems [27]. Although elementary, it turns out to be essential in the proof of Gevrey regularity for KAM tori in the diophantine situation.

Denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)$ the euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the sequence $\sigma(\alpha)$ by :

$$\sigma(\alpha)_k := \min\{|(\alpha, i)| : i \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, \|i\| \leq 2^k\}.$$

A vector is called (C,τ)-*diophantine* if the sequence $\sigma(\alpha)^{-1}$ of (C,τ)-class.

Siegel proved that a holomorphic vector field whose linear part has eigenvalues defining a (C,τ)-vector is linearisable and Bruno extended this result to the vectors α which satisfy the condition [5, 39] :

$$-\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\log \sigma(\alpha)_n}{2^n} < +\infty.$$

This class contains those for which the sequence $\sigma(\alpha)^{-1}$ is of exponential type less than 2 which will be our main assumptions on frequencies. This condition is stronger than that of Bruno, but it is plausible that the result of this paper can be extended to this more general case.

In KAM theory, one considers the linearisation of vector fields depending on parameters. The subsets of parameters that we consider will be of the following type :

Definition 1.3. *The arithmetic class in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *associated to a real decreasing sequence* $a = (a_k)$ *is the set*

$$\mathcal{C}(a) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma(\alpha)_k \geq a_k, \ \forall k\}.$$

Remark that arithmetic classes are closed subsets. We are in a situation different from the KAM setting formulated by Arnold who considered the union of some special kind of arithmetic classes [1] :

$$\Omega_\tau := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists C, \ \sigma(\alpha)_k \geq C2^{-n\tau}, \ \forall k\}.$$

The subsets Ω_τ are of full measure and this property is invariant under base changes which satisfy the Pyartli-Rssmann condition [28, 32]. In our situation, the set $\mathcal{C}(a)$ is, in general, not of full measure and might even contain isolated half-lines.

1.2. Statement of the theorem.

We denote by $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ an open neighbourhood of the origin.

Definition 1.4 ([15, 16, 28]). *A C^l-map*

$$f : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,\ x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$$

is called l-curved in a vector subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if :

i) *$f(U) = f(x) + V$;*
ii) *the partial derivatives $\{\partial^j f(x) : |j| \leq l\}$ generate the vector-space V where $j = (j_1, j_2 \dots, j_d)$ is a multi-index and $|j| = j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_d$.*

Such mappings are also called *non-degenerate.* Sometimes we omit to specify V or l.

Let us recall the definition of density, in the measure theoretical sense. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $B(\alpha, r)$ the ball centred at α with radius r. The *density* of a measurable subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ at a point α is the limit (if it exists) :

$$\lim_{r \longrightarrow 0} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(K \cap B(\alpha, r))}{\mathrm{Vol}(B(\alpha, r))}.$$

The density of a measurable subset is equal to 1 at almost all of its point [18]. For instance, sets of zero Lebesgue measure have density equal to one at *almost all points* and, in fact, equal to zero at *all points.*

Theorem 1.1 ([11]). *Consider a real positive decreasing sequence $a = (a_k)$ and define the sequence $a' = (a'_k)$ by*

$$a'_k := 2^{-kn - kl(n+1) - (n+1)^2 kdl} a_k^{(n+1)l}$$

For any l-curved mapping

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,\ f(0) = \alpha \in \mathcal{C}(a)$$

the density of the set $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(a'))$ at the origin is equal to 1.

2. THE C^∞ BIRKHOFF NORMAL FORM

2.1. The frequency space.

Let us consider the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with coordinates q_i, p_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and the standard symplectic form :

$$\omega := \sum_{i=1}^{n} dq_i \wedge dp_i.$$

Recall that a C^∞ diffeomorphism is called a *symplectomorphism* if it preserves the symplectic form.

If f, g are series in the q, p variables, we use the notations

$$f = g + o(l)$$

whenever the terms arising in series $f-g$ are of degree at least $l+1$. We denote by $f^{(k)}$ the homogeneous component of degree k in f. We say that f is of *order* l if $f=o(l-1)$. For a multi-index $I=(I_1,I_2,\dots,I_n)$ we use the notation

$$\lambda^I=\lambda_1^{I_1}\dots\lambda_n^{I_n}\text{ and }|I|=I_1+I_2+\dots+I_n.$$

Let $H:(\mathbb{R}^{2n},0)\longrightarrow(\mathbb{R},0)$ be an analytic function germ with a positive definite hessian. In such a situation, the critical point is called *elliptic*. Up to a symplectic linear change of coordinates, we may assume that H is of the form

$$H=\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_i(p_i^2+q_i^2)+o(2)$$

The vector

$$\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$$

is called the *frequency vector*, or simply the *frequency*, of H at the critical point.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $H:(\mathbb{R}^{2n},0)\longrightarrow(\mathbb{R},0)$ be an analytic function germ with an elliptic critical point at the origin. If the coordinates of the frequency vector are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ then, for any $k>0$, there exists a symplectomorphism $\varphi_k:(\mathbb{R}^{2n},0)\longrightarrow(\mathbb{R}^{2n},0)$ and homogeneous polynomials $D_j^H=\sum_{|I|=j}a_I\lambda^I$ of degree $j\le k$ such that*

$$\varphi_k(H)=\sum_{j=1}^k D_j^H(q_1p_1,\dots,q_np_n)+o(2k)$$

with

$$D_j^H(q_1p_1,\dots,q_np_n):=\sum_{|I|=j}a_I(p_1^2+q_1^2)^{I_1}(p_2^2+q_2^2)^{I_2}\dots(p_n^2+q_n^2)^{I_n}.$$

Moreover, the polynomials D_j^H do not depend on the choice of φ_k.

This proposition was attributed by Poincar to Delaunay and Lindstedt, it is sometimes also attributed to Birkhoff [3, 25]. We will give a proof using a fast iteration in 3.1.

The polynomials D_k^H will be called the *Delaunay-Lindstedt polynomials.* In particular, there is a uniquely defined formal power series

$$\widehat{B}^H=\sum_{j\ge1}D_j^H\in\mathbb{R}[[\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n]],$$

called the *Birkhoff normal form of* H, such that $\widehat{B}^H(q_1p_1,\dots,q_np_n)$ is conjugated to H by a formal symplectomorphism.

The Delaunay-Lindstedt polynomials of H define polynomial mappings

$$\nabla D_k^H=(\partial_{\lambda_1}D_k^H,\dots,\partial_{\lambda_n}D_k^H):\mathbb{R}^n\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Definition 2.1. *The frequency space of* H*, denoted* $\mathcal{F}(H) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$*, is the smallest vector subspace of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *which contains the images of the* ∇D_k^H *for any* $k \geq 2$*.*

From a scheme-theoretical point of view, the gradient of $\widehat{B}^H$ induces a map of formal schemes

$$\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{C}[[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]]^n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]^n)$$

induced by substituting t_i with $\partial_{\lambda_i} \widehat{B}^H - \alpha_i$ and $\mathcal{F}(H)$ is smallest vector space which contains the image of this map.

If the quadratic form D_2^H is non degenerate then $\mathcal{F}(H) = \mathbb{R}^n$. These will be called the *nondegenerated cases in the sense of Kolmogorov.* Remark that, by definition, the frequency space of a hamiltonian is invariant under the action of symplectomorphisms :

$$\mathcal{F}(H \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{F}(H)$$

for any symplectomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$.

2.2. **Statement of the theorems.** Let us now extend the notion of symplectomorphism to closed subsets. A C^k*-symplectomorphism* ($k \geq 1$ or $k = \infty$), between closed subsets $X, X' \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$:

$$\varphi : X \longrightarrow X', \ \varphi(X) = X'$$

is the restriction to X of a C^k-diffeomorphism Φ defined on an open subset U containing X such that

$$(\Phi^* \omega)_x = \omega, \ \forall x \in X'.$$

More generally, a Poisson morphism from a subset of a Poisson manifold is the restriction of a C^k-mapping which preserves the Poisson structure over the subset.

Consider the map

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \ (q, p) \mapsto (p_1^2 + q_1^2, p_2^2 + q_2^2, \dots, p_n^2 + q_n^2).$$

This paper will mainly be concerned in proving the

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $a = (a_i)$ *be a decreasing sequence such that* a^{-1} *is of exponential class* 2*. Consider an analytic function germ*

$$H : (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$$

having an elliptic critical point at the origin with frequency

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{C}(a).$$

There exists a C^∞ *map germ* $B^H : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ *and a* C^∞ *symplectomophism germ :*

$$\varphi : (X, 0) \longrightarrow (X', 0),$$

with

$$X := \pi^{-1} \circ (\nabla B^H)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(a)), \ X' = \varphi(X)$$

such that

i) $H \circ \varphi = B^H(p_1^2 + q_1^2, \dots, p_n^2 + q_n^2)$ *;*
ii) *the Taylor series of* B^H *at the origin is equal to the Birkhoff normal form of* H *;*
iii) *the restriction of* φ *to the fibres of the map* π *is analytic ;*
iv) *the mapping* ∇B^H *is curved in* $\mathcal{F}(H)$.

Moreover if a *is of* (C, τ)*-class, for some* $C, \tau > 0$*, then* φ *and* B^H *can be chosen of Gevrey class* $[\tau] + 2$.

(Recall that a C^∞ function f is of Gevrey class j over a closed subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ if

$$\sup_{z \in K, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{|\partial^\beta f(z)|}{(|\beta|!)^j} < +\infty,$$

with $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_d$.)

In case the frequency space is a point, then the set X is an open neighbourhood of the origin and the theorem asserts that H is integrable in this neighbourhood. This generalises a theorem proved by Rssmann in the diophantine case [31]. In the other extreme, if the frequency space equals $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., if H is non-degenerate, in the sense of Kolomogorov, then the theorem becomes a local variant of the KAM theorem.

A similar theorem holds for an arbitrary quadratic part (not necessarily elliptic) and for the complex holomorphic situation.

Denote by T^n the real n-dimensional torus, that we identify with the zero section of its cotangent space

$$T^*T^n \approx T^n \times \mathbb{R}^n = \{(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)\},\ q_i \in (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}),\ p_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We equip this space with the standard symplectic form

$$\omega := \sum_{i=1}^n dq_i \wedge dp_i.$$

To avoid introducing new notations, we denote by π the map

$$\pi : T^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,\ (q, p) \mapsto (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n).$$

Recall that a hamiltonian function defines a *quasi-periodic motion* with *frequency* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ along T^n if the restriction of its hamiltonian vector field to T^n is linear of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \partial_{q_i}$. In such a case, up to a constant, H is of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\, p_i + \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \alpha_{ij}\, p_i p_j$$

where the α_{ij} are analytic functions of the q, p's in a common neighbourhood of T^n.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a = (a_i)$ be a decreasing sequence such that a^{-1} is of exponential class 2. Consider an analytic function germ*

$$H : (T^*T^n, T^n) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$$

defining a quasi-periodic motion along T^n with frequency

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{C}(a).$$

There exists a C^∞ map germ $B^H : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ and a C^∞ symplectomophism germ :

$$\varphi : (X, 0) \longrightarrow (X', 0),$$

with

$$X := \pi^{-1} \circ (\nabla B^H)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(a)),\ X' = \varphi(X)$$

such that

i) *$H \circ \varphi = B^H(p_1, \dots, p_n)$;*
ii) *the Taylor series of B^H is equal to the Birkhoff normal form of H ;*
iii) *the restriction of φ to the fibres of the map π is analytic ;*
iv) *the mapping ∇B^H is curved in $\mathcal{F}(H)$.*

Moreover if a is of (C, τ)-class, for some $C, \tau > 0$, then φ and B^H can be chosen of Gevrey class $[\tau] + 2$.

The theorems stated above concern neighbourhoods of invariant tori of dimension 0 and n, there are similar theorems for invariant isotropic submanifolds of arbitrary dimension. All cases can proved along the same lines, so we will later give the proof only for Theorem 2.1. For the moment, let us observe that these results imply the following strengthening of Herman's conjecture.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $H : (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be an analytic function germ having an elliptic critical point at the origin with frequency α. If the sequence $\sigma(\alpha)^{-1}$ is of exponential class 2 then H admits a representative whose invariant tori form a set of density one at each point.*

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We apply Theorem 2.1 to the sequence

$$a'_k := 2^{-kn-kl(n+1)-(n+1)^2kdl} a_k^{(n+1)l}.$$

By assumption $\nabla B^H(0) = \alpha \in \mathcal{C}(a) \subset \mathcal{C}(a')$, thus Theorem 1.1 implies that the set $S := (\nabla B^H)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(a'))$ has density one at the origin. It follows that the preimage X of S under the map

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (q, p) \mapsto (q_1^2 + p_1^2, \dots, q_n^2 + p_n^2)$$

and its image $X' = \varphi(X)$ under φ have both density one at the origin. More generally, by a similar argument, we get that in the neighbourhood of an invariant torus of arbitrary dimension, there is a set of density one consisting of n-dimensional invariant tori. This proves Theorem 2.3. □

2.3. **KAM theorem without non degeneracy assumptions.** As we shall see, there is no difficulty in introducing parameters in Theorem 2.1 and 2.2. Let us for instance consider the case of Theorem 2.2.

Denote by M the product of the real line with the cotangent space to the n-dimensional torus with variables $q_j \in (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, $p_j \in \mathbb{R}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$:

$$M := \mathbb{R} \times T^*T^n = \{(t, q, p)\}$$

Consider the Poisson structure induced by that of the cotangent bundle to the torus :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{q_j} \wedge \partial_{p_j}.$$

We identify T^n with the cartesian product of the zero section in the cotangent space with $\{t = 0\}$ and denote by π the map

$$\pi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1},\ (t, q, p) \mapsto (t, p).$$

We use the notation $\nabla := (\partial_{p_1}, \dots, \partial_{p_n})$ for the gradient in the p variables.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $a = (a_i)$ be a decreasing sequence such that a^{-1} is of exponential class 2. Consider an analytic function germ*

$$H : (M, T^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that $H(t = 0, -)$ defines a quasi-periodic motion with frequency

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{C}(a)$$

along T^n. There exists a C^∞ map germ

$$B^H : (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, 0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, (t, p) \mapsto B^H(t, p)$$

and a C^∞ symplectomorphism germ :

$$\varphi : (X, 0) \longrightarrow (X', 0),$$

with

$$X := \pi^{-1} \circ (\nabla B^H)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(a)),\ X' = \varphi(X)$$

such that

- i) *$H \circ \varphi = B^H(t, p_1, \dots, p_n)$;*
- ii) *the restriction of φ to the fibres of π is analytic ;*
- iii) *the mapping ∇B^H is curved in $\mathcal{F}(H)$.*

Moreover if a is of (C, τ)-class, for some $C, \tau > 0$, then φ and B^H can be chosen of Gevrey class $[\tau] + 2$.

This gives a variant of Kolmogorov's original theorem : the existence of a single invariant tori at $t = 0$ with diophantine quasi-periodic motion implies the existence of a positive measure set of such tori in the product space $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$, *without any non-degeneracy assumption.*

The reader might compare this result with a theorem of Katok [14, Theorem A], with Rssman's version of the KAM theorem [32] and with the example given by Sevryuk in [37, Section 2].

3. THE ITERATIVE PROCESS FOR THE BIRKHOFF NORMAL FORM

3.1. **Formal Birkhoff normal form by a fast iteration.**

We shall now see that the formal Birkhoff normal form can be obtained by a fast iteration. This iteration plays an intermediate role between the usual one and the one that we will use to prove the existence of a C^∞ Birkhoff normal form (Theorem 2.1).

The real structures will play essentially no role in the sequel. Thus, we consider the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with coordinates $q_i, p_i,\ i = 1, \dots, n$ and the complex holomorphic symplectic form :

$$\omega := \sum_{i=1}^n dq_i \wedge dp_i.$$

Denote by $\mathbb{C}[[q,p]]$ the ring of formal power series in the variables

$$q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n),\ p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n).$$

The symplectic form induces a Poisson structure on this ring defined by

$$\{f, g\} := \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{q_i} f \partial_{p_i} g - \partial_{q_i} g \partial_{p_i} f.$$

Let $H \in \mathbb{C}[[q,p]]$ be a series with a non-degenerate hessian. Up to a symplectic linear change of coordinates, we may assume that H is of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i q_i + o(2).$$

Like in the real case, the vector

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

is called the *frequency vector* (or simply the frequency) of H at the critical point. Put $H_0 := H^{(2)}$ and assume that the coordinates of the frequency vector are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. In this case, the kernel of the linear mapping

$$\mathbb{C}[[q,p]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[q,p]],\ f \mapsto \{f, H_0\}$$

is the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of formal power series in

$$p_1 q_1,\ p_2 q_2, \dots,\ p_n q_n.$$

Note that projecting $\mathcal{A}$ to the cokernel of the map $\{-, H_0\}$ gives an isomorphism, thus we have an exact sequence of algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[q,p]] \xrightarrow{\{-,H_0\}} \mathbb{C}[[q,p]] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We now define the iteration. At the first step, we have

$$H_0 := H^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i p_i q_i,\ R_0 := H - H_0.$$

Once $H_k,\ R_k$ are known the next term is constructed as follows.

There exists a (non-unique) polynomial $P_k \in \mathbb{C}[q,p]$ of order 2^k+2 and a unique $B_k \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$R_k^{(2^k+2)} + \dots + R_k^{(2^{k+1}+1)} = \{P_k, H_k\} + B_k.$$

We consider the symplectic automorphism $e^{-\{P_k,-\}}$ of the ring $\mathbb{C}[[q,p]]$:

$$f \mapsto f - \{P_k, f\} + \frac{1}{2!}\{P_k,\{P_k,f\}\} - \frac{1}{3!}\{P_k,\{P_k,\{P_k,f\}\}\} + \dots$$

(This automorphism can be interpreted as the hamiltonian flow of $-P_k$ at time $t=1$.)

The iteration is defined by

$$\begin{cases} H_{k+1} & := & H_k & + & B_k, \\ R_{k+1} & := & e^{-\{P_k,-\}}(H_k + R_k) & - & H_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

Thus the polynomial B_k can be expressed in terms of Delaunay-Lindstedt polynomials :

$$B_k(q_1,\dots,q_n,p_1,\dots,p_n) = \sum_{j=2^{k-1}+1}^{2^k} D_j^H(p_1q_1,\dots,p_nq_n),$$

for $k>0$ and $B_0 = 0$.

Let us spell out the first steps of this induction. For $k=0$, we chose a homogeneous polynomial P_0 of degree 3 such that

$$R_0^{(3)} := \{P_0, H_0\}.$$

In that case $B_0 = 0$ and we have

$$e^{-\{P_0,-\}}(H_0 + R_0) = H_1 + R_1$$

with $H_1 = H_0$. Remark that, while R_0 was of order 3, the series R_1 is now of order 4 :

$$R_1 = e^{-\{P_0,-\}}(H_0+R_0) - H_0 = H_0 + R_0 - \{P_0,H_0\} - H_0 + o(4) = o(4)$$

To perform the next step, choose polynomials $P_1 \in \mathbb{C}[[q,p]]$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ of order 4 such that

$$R_1^{(4)} + R_1^{(5)} = \{P_1, H_1\} + B_1.$$

Write

$$H_2 := H_1 + B_1,\ R_2 := e^{-\{P_1,-\}}(H_1 + R_1) - H_2$$

and repeat the procedure. Note that, while R_1 was of order 4, the series R_2 is now of order 6 and not only of order 5 :

$$R_2 = e^{-\{P_1,-\}}(H_1+R_1) - H_2 = H_1 + R_1 - \{P_1,H_1\} - H_1 - B_1 + o(6) = o(6).$$

In this way, we construct step by step a symplectic automorphism

$$\varphi_k = e^{-\{P_{k-1},-\}} \dots e^{-\{P_0,-\}}$$

such that

$$H \circ \varphi_k = H_k + R_k$$

with

$$H_k = \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} D_j^H(p_1q_1, \dots, p_nq_n) \in \mathcal{A},\ R_k = o(2^k + 1).$$

As the Poisson bracket decreases the degree by 2 and P_k is of order $2^k + 2$, in the expansion of the exponential

$$H_k - \{P_k, H_k\} + \frac{1}{2!}\{P_k, \{P_k, H_k\}\} + \dots$$

the quadratic term $\{P_k, \{P_k, H_k\}\}$ might contain terms of degree

$$2(2^k + 2) + 2 - 4 = 2^{k+1} + 2.$$

Thus, as long as we compute with formal power series, we cannot do better than solving the linearised equations up to order $2^{k+1} + 1$. However, in the sequel, we will be interested by estimates for some supremum norms, thus we will increase the order of approximation accordingly.

The real case offers no difficulty. If

$$\tau : \mathbb{C}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$$

denotes an anti-holomorphic involution. We say that a formal power series $f(z)$ is *τ-real* if $f(\tau z) = \bar{f}(z)$. If H is τ-real then the P_i's can also be chosen of this sort and the corresponding symplectic automorphism preserves the real structure.

3.2. **The invariant lagrangian variety.** According to Siegel, for a generic H any symplectic automorphism which conjugates H to its Birkhoff normal form is divergent [38, 40] (see also [23]). So, we modify the normal form constructed by the previous Ansatz in two steps.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The stalk of this sheaf at the origin is a local ring and we denote by $\mathcal{M}^k_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ the powers of its maximal ideal :

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} : f(0) = 0\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Consider the quadratic polynomial*

$$H(q,p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i q_i \in \mathbb{C}[q,p]$$

If the frequency vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ *is such that* $\sigma(\alpha)^{-1}$ *is of exponential class* 2 *then for any holomorphic function germ of the type*

$$H + R,\ R \in \mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$$

there exists a symplectic automorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0})$ *such that*

$$\varphi(H+R) = H\ (\mathrm{mod}\, I^2)$$

where $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$ *is the ideal generated by* $p_1q_1,\ p_2q_2, \dots,\ p_nq_n$.

Note that the construction of the Birkhoff normal form implies the existence of a symplectomorphism φ_N such that

$$\varphi_N(H+R) = H + o(N)\ (\mathrm{mod}\, I^2),$$

for any $N > 0$.

Theorem 3.1 implies that, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin, the representatives of the germ $H+R$ admit an invariant complex lagrangian variety locally symplectomorphic to

$$\{(q,p) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} : p_1q_1 = p_2q_2 = \dots = p_nq_n = 0\}.$$

This results shows the relation between the statements *a hamiltonian system has a critical point* and a *a hamiltonian system has an invariant torus* : in both cases, it has an invariant *complex* lagrangian variety.

3.3. **Algorithm for proving Theorem 3.1.** In order to prove the theorem, we introduce a second graduation of $\mathbb{C}[[q,p]]$ defined by

$$hdeg(p^iq^j) = [\|i-j\|]\,,\ i,j \in \mathbb{N}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $[-]$ denotes the entire part. We will refer to *harmonic-degree* for the degree with respect to this graduation and use the word *degree* for the usual polynomial degree. We denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\cdot}$ the following associated filtration :

$$\mathcal{F}^k = \{ \sum_{\|i-j\| > 2^k} a_{ij}q^ip^j,\ a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Let $V_k \subset \mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$ be the subspace of formal power series with harmonic-degree at most 2^k :

$$V_k := \{ \sum_{\|i-j\| \leq 2^k} a_{ij}q^ip^j : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}\} \cap \mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}.$$

Put $H = H_0$ and $R_0 = R \in \mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$.

Our algorithm depends on the choice of a natural number $N > 0$ which fixes the order of approximation for the first steps. At $n = 0$, we choose holomorphic function germs $P_0 \in V_0$, $B_0 \in I_0^2$, such that

$$\{P_0, H_0\} + B_0 = R_0 \ \mathrm{mod}\, \mathcal{F}^N.$$

Define

$$H_1 := H_0 + B_0,\ R_1 := e^{-\{P_0,-\}}(H_0 + R_0) - H_1$$

To repeat this process, we first define the function

$$\phi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

by

$$\phi(n) = \begin{cases} N & \text{for} \quad n \leq N \\ n & \text{for} \quad n > N \end{cases}$$

At the k^{th} step, we write

$$H_k = H_{k-1} + B_{k-1},\ B_{k-1} \in I^2$$

and solve the *infinitesimal equation* :

$$\{P_k, H_k\} + B_k = R_k \bmod \mathcal{F}^{\phi(k)}$$

with $P_k \in V_{\phi(k)}$, $B_k \in I^2 \cap V_{\phi(k)}$. Then, like in the formal case, we define

$$\begin{cases} H_{k+1} & := & H_k & + & B_k, \\ R_{k+1} & := & e^{-\{P_k,-\}}(H_k + R_k) & - & H_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

We construct symplectomorphisms

$$\varphi_k := e^{-\{P_k,-\}} e^{-\{P_{k-1},-\}} \dots e^{-\{P_0,-\}}$$

which "almost" conjugate $H + R$ to H modulo I^2 for both filtrations. In particular, the degrees in the q, p-variables increase.

An important ingredient concerning this iteration is that we can compute explicitly a solution to the infinitesimal equation, as we shall now see.

3.4. **Solving the infinitesimal equation.** Observe that if F is a vector subspace of a vector space E then the restriction of the canonical projection to a supplementary subspace $E' \subset E$ gives an isomorphism

$$E/F \approx E'.$$

We put $I_k = I \cap V_k$, $I_k^2 = I^2 \cap V_k$ and we apply this principle to the exact sequence of vector spaces :

$$0 \longrightarrow I_k/I_k^2 \longrightarrow V_k/I_k^2 \longrightarrow V_k/I_k \longrightarrow 0.$$

The quotient space V_k/I_k^2 gets identified with the sum of the subspace $\mathcal{A}_k$ generated by the monomials

$$p^i q^j \text{ with } i_1 j_1 = \cdots = i_n j_n = 0 \text{ and } \|i - j\| \leq 2^k$$

which belong to the ideal $\mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$ with the vector space

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} p_i q_i \mathcal{A}_k.$$

Under this identification the isomorphism given by splitting the exact sequence

$$V_k/I_k^2 \approx V_k/I_k \oplus I_k/I_k^2$$

consists in taking the sum :

$$\mathcal{A}_k \oplus \mathcal{B}_k \longrightarrow V_k/I_k^2, (a,b) \mapsto \overline{a+b}$$

Now, at the k^{th} step, we wish to find an inverse of the map

$$\rho_k : V_k/I_k^2 \longrightarrow V_k/I_k^2,\ f \mapsto \{f, H_k\}.$$

To do this, first recall that the *Hadamard product* of two series

$$f := \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^n} a_i z^i,\ g := \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^n} b_i z^i$$

is defined by

$$f \star g := \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}^n} a_i b_i z^i.$$

The map induced by ρ_k on $V_k/I_k \approx \mathcal{A}_k$ is simply the Hadamard product with the power series

$$f := \sum_{i\neq j} (\alpha, (i-j)) p^i q^j,$$

in particular

$$\{-, H_k\} = \{-, H_0\} \bmod I.$$

Thus, in $\mathcal{A}_k$, a right-inverse is given by the Hadamard product with the holomorphic function germ

$$g_k = \sum_{0<\|i-j\|\leq 2^k} (\alpha, (i-j))^{-1} p^i q^j.$$

We now lift this right-inverse from $V_k/I_k \approx \mathcal{A}_k$ to $V_k/I_k^2 \approx \mathcal{A}_k \oplus \mathcal{B}_k$. Via the identification of V_k/I_k^2 with $\mathcal{A}_k \oplus \mathcal{B}_k$, the map ρ_k admits the lower triangular decomposition

$$\rho_k = \begin{pmatrix} f\star & 0 \\ \{-, \sum_{i=1}^k B_i\} & f\star \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{A}_k \oplus \mathcal{B}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_k \oplus \mathcal{B}_k.$$

Thus a right-inverse map is given by

$$j_k := \begin{pmatrix} g_k\star & 0 \\ -g_k \star \{-, \sum_{i=1}^k B_i\} g_k\star & g_k\star \end{pmatrix}$$

that is :

$$j_k : \mathcal{A}_k \oplus \mathcal{B}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_k \oplus \mathcal{B}_k : (a,b) \mapsto (g_k \star a, g_k \star b - g_k \star \{g_k \star a, \sum_{i=1}^k B_i\}).$$

In this way, we solved explicitly our equations at each step.

3.5. **Lagrangian normal forms.** We construct the C^∞-Birkhoff normal form by extending the construction of Theorem 3.1 to the case where the functions depend on parameters. This involves a generalisation of the usual Birkhoff normal form.

Consider the algebra of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]$ with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. We extend the graduation by the polynomial degree by putting the degree of the λ_i's equal to 2.

The bivector

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{q_i} \wedge \partial_{p_i}$$

induces a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]$-linear Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]$.

Denote by $I \subset \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]$ the ideal generated by the $p_i q_i - \lambda_i$'s for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If two series are equal modulo the square of the ideal I then they define the same hamiltonian derivation of $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]/I$. Indeed for any $g, h \in I$ and any $H \in \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]$, we have :

$$\{H + gh, -\} = \{H, -\} + g\{h, -\} + h\{g, -\} = \{H, -\} \pmod{I}.$$

In a more abstract way, the ideal I defines a Lagrangian deformation thus the conormal module I/I^2 gets identified with the tangent module of the deformation.

The inclusion $\mathbb{C}[[q, p]] \subset \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]$ induces an isomorphism of Poisson algebras

$$\mathbb{C}[[q, p]] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]/I.$$

Thus any hamiltonian derivation in $\mathbb{C}[[q, p]]$ can be identified with a hamiltonian derivation in $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]/I$.

To see how the Birkhoff normal form can be written via this isomorphism, put $qp = (q_1 p_1, \dots, q_n p_n)$. Consider a formal power series $H \in \mathbb{C}[[q, p]]$ such that the components of the frequency vector are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. In that case, H can be reduced to a Birkhoff normal form $\widehat{B}^H$ via a formal symplectomorphism.

The Taylor formula at order one gives :

$$\widehat{B}^H(qp) = \widehat{B}^H(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{\lambda_i} \widehat{B}^H(\lambda)(q_i p_i - \lambda_i) (\operatorname{mod} I^2).$$

Therefore the series $\widehat{B}^H(qp)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{\lambda_i} \widehat{B}^H(\lambda)(q_i p_i - \lambda_i)$ define the same hamiltonian derivation of $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, q, p]]/I$. Thus, the usual Birkhoff normal form and the right-hand side of this equality contains the same information concerning the hamiltonian derivation $\{-, H\}$ in ring of formal power series.

More generally, we may take for I the ideal generated by the $p_i q_i - g_i(\lambda)$ where $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$. These *lagrangian normal forms* are more general than Birkhoff normal forms since the frequency mapping does not need to be a gradient, namely :

Proposition 3.1. *Consider a holomorphic function germ*

$$H : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0),\ (\lambda, q, p) \mapsto H(\lambda, q, p)$$

such that

$$H(\lambda = 0, q, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i p_i q_i + o(2)$$

If the α_i*'s are linearly independent over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *then, for any* $k > 0$*, there exists the germ of a Poisson mapping* $\varphi_k : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ *and polynomials* $g_{1,k}, \dots, g_{n,k} \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ *of degree* $k-1$ *such that*

$$\varphi_k(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i,k}(\lambda) p_i q_i + o(2k) \ (\operatorname{mod} I^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}[\lambda])$$

Moreover, the polynomials $g_{1,k}, \dots, g_{n,k}$ *do not depend on the choice of* φ_k*.*

The notion of frequency space and Kolmogorov's non-degeneracy condition extend immediately to this setting.

3.6. **Martinet's trick in the KAM context.** The nave algorithm of the fast Birkhoff normal form (see 3.1) would require to construct the set of invariant tori step by step, like in Arnold's proof of the KAM theorem. We will proceed differently : using the lagrangian normal form, we interpolate our initial hamiltonian with a non-degenerate one, in the sense of Kolmogorov. This produces a homotopy between our functions. Our aim is to prove that this homotopy is given by a change of variables which preserves the Poisson structure. This artefact was invented by Martinet in the context of Thom-Mather theory [19].

Consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu, q, p]]$ of formal power series in the $4n$ variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n,\ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n,\ q_1, \dots, q_n,\ p_1, \dots, p_n$. We extend the graduation by the polynomial degree in $\mathbb{C}[[q, p]]$ by assigning the degree 2 to the λ_i's and the μ_i's :

$$deg(\lambda_i) = deg(\mu_i) = 2,\ \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The bivector

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{q_i} \wedge \partial_{p_i}$$

induces a $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu]]$-linear Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu, q, p]]$.

Denote by $I \subset \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu, q, p]]$ the ideal generated by the $p_i q_i - \lambda_i - \mu_i$'s for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let us now consider a power series of the type :

$$H(q,p)=\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i q_i + R_0,\ R_0:=D_2^H(q_1p_1,\dots,q_np_n)+o(4),$$

where the α_i's are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Here $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$ is the frequency at the origin and D_2^H is the second Delaunay-Lindstedt polynomial of H.

The polynomial D_2^H is homogeneous of degree 2, so that the polynomial $D_2^H(q_1p_1,\dots,q_np_n)$ is homogeneous of degree 4, and we denote by Q the associated symmetric $n\times n$-matrix. Next, define the matrix $A=\mathrm{Id}\,-2Q$ and consider the polynomial

$$G_0:=\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i q_i + A\begin{pmatrix}\mu_1 p_1 q_1\\ \dots\\ \mu_n p_n q_n\end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that the restriction of G_0+R_0 to $\mu=0$ is equal to H and, modulo I^2, $G_0+R_0^{(4)}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n(\alpha_i+\mu_i)p_iq_i+Q\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1 p_1 q_1\\ \dots\\ \lambda_n p_n q_n\end{pmatrix}$$

In this way, the linear change of variables

$$\mu\mapsto\mu-Q(\lambda)$$

reduces $G_0+R_0^{(4)}$ to G_0.

Assume that, for any $k>0$, there exists a Poisson automorphism φ_k such that

$$\varphi_k(G_0+R_0)=G_0+o(2k)\ (\mathrm{mod}\, I^2\oplus\mathbb{C}\{\lambda\})$$

This Poisson automorphism φ_k induces a biholomorphic map, that we denote in the same way, between neighbourhoods of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n=\{(\lambda,\mu)\}$.

Let U_k be a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n$ over which φ_k is biholomorphic. The image by the automorphism φ_k of the projection map

$$(\lambda,\mu)\mapsto\mu$$

defines a map germ A_k in the variables λ,μ. The submanifold

$$\mathcal{M}_k:=\{(\lambda,\mu)\in U_k:\ A_k(\lambda,\mu)=0\}.$$

is the image of the vector space $\mu=0$ under φ_k. As φ_k is tangent to the identity, it is the graph of a function g_k :

$$\mathcal{M}_k:=\{(\lambda,\mu)\in U_k:\ \mu=g_k(\lambda)\}.$$

As the restriction of $G_0 + R_0$ to $\mu = 0$ is equal to H_0, we get that :

$$\varphi_k(H) \equiv (G_0 + o(2k))_{|\mathcal{M}_k} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i,k}(\lambda) p_i q_i + o(2k)$$

where $\equiv$ stands for an equality modulo $I^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}\{\lambda\}$ and $g_k = (g_{1,k}, \dots, g_{n,k})$. The unicity of the Birkhoff normal form implies that the first terms in the Taylor expansion of g_k are given by the gradient of the Delaunay-Lindstedt polynomials :

$$g_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \nabla D_i^H + o(2k).$$

Using the relation between Birkhoff normal forms and lagrangian ones, we get that :

$$\varphi_k(H) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} D_i^H(q_1 p_1, \dots, q_n p_n) + o(2k).$$

This shows that after introducing the map G_0 and constructing the Poisson automorphisms φ_k, we can recover the usual Birkhoff normal form of our initial hamiltonian H. We now proceed to the linearised problem involved in the construction of the φ_k's.

3.7. **The linearised equations for the lagrangian normal form.**

Consider the algebras

$$\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu, q, p]]/I^2,\ \mathcal{S} := \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu]],\ \Theta := \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}[[\lambda]]}(\mathcal{S}) = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu]]\partial_{\mu_i}.$$

The nave filtration by the degree does not satisfy the properties that we shall require for proving the convergence of our iteration. Thus, we extend the harmonic graduation which associates the degree $[\|i - j\|]$ to $p^i q^j$ to $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu, q, p]]$ by putting :

$$hdeg(\lambda_i) = hdeg(\mu_i) = 0,\ \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

So that now

$$\mathcal{F}^k = \{ \sum_{\|i-j\| > 2^k} a_{ij} q^i p^j,\ a_{ij} \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

Denote by V_k the space of formal power series with harmonic-degree at most 2^k :

$$V_k := \{ \sum_{\|i-j\| \leq 2^k} a_{ij} q^i p^j : a_{ij} \in \mathcal{S} \}.$$

Put

$$I_k = I \cap V_k,\ I_k^2 = I^2 \cap V_k,\ F_k = I_k^2 \oplus \mathcal{S}.$$

The Poisson bracket induces a map

$$\Delta_k : V_k / I_k^2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{R}),\ f \mapsto \{f, -\}.$$

We define

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \Theta,\ \mathfrak{g}_k := \operatorname{Im} \Delta_k,\ k > 0.$$

and construct an inverse to the map

$$\rho_k(C) : \mathfrak{g}_k \longrightarrow V_k/F_k, v \mapsto v(G_0 + C)$$

for any $C \in I_k^2$ of order 5.

We identify the quotient space V_k/F_k with the sum of the $\mathcal{S}$-submodules $\mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{B}_k,\ \mathcal{C}_k$ where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}_k$ are respectively generated by the following monomials :

(1) p_iq_i's ;
(2) p^iq^j's with $i_1j_1 = \cdots = i_nj_n = 0$ and $0 < \|i-j\| \leq 2^k$;

and

$$\mathcal{C}_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n p_iq_i\mathcal{B}_k.$$

Let us first consider the case $k = 0$. The $\mathcal{S}$-linear map

$$\rho_0(C) : \Theta \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is given by an $n \times n$ matrix of the type

$$\mathrm{Id} + R_C.$$

I assert that the matrix R_C vanishes for $q = 0,\ p = 0,\ \lambda = \mu = 0$. As C belongs to I^2, it is of the form :

$$C = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(p_iq_i - \lambda_i - \mu_i)(p_jq_j - \lambda_j - \mu_j),\ a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[[\lambda, \mu]],$$

an since C is of order 5, the a_{ij} vanish at the origin. Moreover,

$$\partial_{\mu_j} C = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} + a_{ji})(p_iq_i - \lambda_i - \mu_i).$$

Thus, the matrix R_C has coefficients $-(a_{ij} + a_{ji})$ and the a_{ij}'s vanish at the origin ; this proves the assertion.

For the case $k > 0$, the situation is similar to that of 3.4. In the invariant space $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}_k \oplus \mathcal{C}_k$, the operator $\rho_k(C)$ admits the lower triangular decomposition

$$\rho_k(C) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0(C) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f\star & 0 \\ 0 & \{-, C\} & f\star \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$f := \sum_{i \neq j} (\alpha + \mu, (i-j))p^iq^j.$$

Define the function

$$g_k(\lambda,\mu,q,p) := \sum_{0<\|i-j\|\leq 2^k} (\alpha+\mu,(i-j))^{-1}p^iq^j.$$

The map

$$j_k(C) := \begin{pmatrix} j_0(C) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_k\star & 0 \\ 0 & -g_k\star\{-,C\}g_k\star & g_k\star \end{pmatrix}$$

is a right-inverse to $\rho_k(C)$.

3.8. **The iterative procedure.** We choose $N \geq 0$, the convergence of algorithm that we describe holds only for N big enough. Next, define the function ϕ as before :

$$\phi(n) = \begin{cases} N & \text{for} \quad n \leq N \\ n & \text{for} \quad n > N \end{cases}$$

and decompose R_0 as

$$R_0 = S_0 + T_0 \text{ with } S_0 \in V_{\phi(0)},\ T_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{\phi(0)}.$$

Consider the derivation

$$u_0 := j_{\phi(0)}(0)(S_0),\ B_0 := S_0 - u_0(G_0).$$

Put $G_1 = G_0 + B_0$ and define R_1 by

$$e^{-u_0}(G_0 + R_0) = G_1 + R_1.$$

At the next step, we write

$$R_1 = S_1 + T_1 \text{ with } S_1 \in V_{\phi(1)},\ T_1 \in \mathcal{F}^{\phi(1)}$$

and put

$$u_1 := j_{\phi(1)}(B_0)(S_1),\ B_1 := S_1 - u_1(G_1).$$

In this way, we construct stepwise sequences

$$(u_k),\ u_k \in \mathfrak{g},\ (B_k),\ B_k \in I_k^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}[[\lambda,\mu]]$$

with $G_{k+1} = G_0 + B_0 + \cdots + B_k$,

$$e^{-u_k}(G_k + R_k) = G_{k+1} + R_{k+1}$$

and

$$B_{k+1} = S_k - u_{k+1}(G_{k+1})$$

where S_k is the sum of terms of harmonic-degree at most $2^{\phi(k)}$ appearing in the expansion of R_k.

The automorphism

$$\varphi_k := e^{-u_k}e^{-u_{k-1}}\dots e^{-u_0}$$

sends $G_0 + R_0$ to $G_{k+1} + R_{k+1}$. Note that all the G_i's define the same hamiltonian derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{R}$.

3.9. **Convergence of the Ansatz.** We use the notations of 3.6 and denote by $\mathbb{C}\{z\} \subset \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ the germs at the origin of holomorphic functions in the variable $z = (z_1, \dots, z_l)$. Let us now assume that our initial hamiltonian function H satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1.

In the rest of the paper, we will prove that, in the C^∞-topology of Whitney differentiable functions, the process described in 3.8 converges for $\mu \in -\alpha + \mathcal{C}(a)$ and $\lambda, q, p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ sufficiently close to the origin, and N big enough. Thus there exists a Poisson morphism

$$\varphi(G_0 + R_0) \equiv G_0.$$

where $\equiv$ means an equality modulo an element of I^2 and a Whitney differentiable function depending only on λ, μ.

As (φ_k) is convergent, the sequence (A_k) also converges to a limit A. Let U be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and let

$$\mathcal{M} := \{(\lambda, \mu) \in U \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}(a)) : A(\lambda, \mu) = 0\}.$$

The projection of $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$ defines a subset K over which the sequence (g_k) converges to a limit g and $\mathcal{M}$ is the graph of g.

By general arguments, we will deduce that the sequence (∇D_k^H) is summable in $L^2(K)$ (Proposition 5.3) and

$$g = \sum_{k \geq 0} \nabla D_k^H.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is contained inside $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{F}(H)$. Using the Whitney extension theorem, we extend the function

$$g : K \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$$

to an open neighbourhood in the space of the variable λ. In this way, we get an l-curved map in $\mathcal{F}(H)$ and a C^∞ lagrangian normal form.

To recover the C^∞ Birkhoff normal form from the lagrangian one, we show that g is the gradient of $\sum_{k \geq 0} D_k^H$, i.e. :

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \nabla D_k^H = \nabla \sum_{k \geq 0} D_k^H.$$

This is a consequence of the closed graph theorem. Indeed, write

$$\sum_{j=k}^{l} D_j^H(z) = \sum_{j=k}^{l} D_j^H(z) - \sum_{j=k}^{l} D_j^H(z)(0)$$

By the mean-value theorem, the right hand-side is estimated by the gradient of $\sum_{j=k}^{l} D_j^H$, thus it tends uniformly to zero. Consequently the sequence $(\sum_{j=1}^{k} D_j^H, \sum_{j=1}^{k} \nabla D_j^H)$ converges towards a limit and by the closed graph theorem

$$\sum_{j\geq 1} \nabla D_j^H = \nabla \left(\sum_{j\geq 1} D_j^H \right).$$

This will conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Our task will consists in a systematic study of the convergence in KAM-type iterations. We first consider the problem of small denominators and construct a formalism similar to that introduced by Hamilton, Sergeraert and Zehnder for Fréchet spaces for the differentiable case but with a difference which turns out to be fundamental : in our case, the scaled object is not a Frchet space but rather an LB-space. As we will see, the theory becomes more rigid but also richer, just as complex geometry with respect to differential geometry.

4. Scaled vector spaces

4.1. **Definition.** An *S-scale of Banach spaces* is a decreasing family of Banach subspaces (E_s), $s \in]0,S[$ such that the inclusions

$$E_{s+\sigma} \subset E_s,\ s \in]0,S[,\ \sigma \in]0,S-s[$$

have norm at most 1.

Let E be a topological vector space. An *S-scaling* of E is a scale (E_s) of Banach subspaces of E such that

i) $E = \bigcup_{s\in]0,S[} E_s$;
ii) the direct limit topology induced by the inclusions $E_s \subset E$ coincide with that E.

(Recall that a family $f_s : X_s \longrightarrow X$ of mappings between topological spaces X_s to a set X induces a *direct limit topology on X*. It is defined as the finest topology for which the f_s are continuous :

$$U \subset X \text{ is open } \iff f_s^{-1}(U) \text{ is open in } X_s, \text{ for all } s.)$$

The interval $]0,S[$ is called the *scaling interval.*

According to the context, point ii) might be a requirement or the definition of the topology on E.

If F is a closed subspace of a scaled vector space E then E/F is scaled by the Banach spaces :

$$(E/F)_s := E_s/(E\cap F)_s.$$

The topological tensor product of scaled vector spaces E, F is scaled by

$$(E\hat{\otimes} F)_s := E_s \hat{\otimes} F_s.$$

(Recall that the topological tensor product of two Banach spaces is the completion of the tensor product for the norm

$$\| \sum x_i \otimes y_i \| \leq \inf \sum \|x_i\| \, \|y_i\|$$

where the inferior limit is taken over all different ways of writing the vector as a sum [12, 33].)

An important property of scaled vector space E is that they admit a natural filtration

$$E := E^{(0)} \supset E^{(1)} \supset E^{(2)} \supset \cdots$$

by the subvector spaces

$$E^{(k)} = \{x \in E : \exists C, \tau, \ |x|_s \leq C s^k, \ \forall s \leq \tau\}, \ k \geq 0$$

Definition 4.1. *The order of an element $x \in E$, denoted by ord(x) is the biggest k such that $x \in E^{(k)}$.*

4.2. **Morphisms of a scaled vector space.** Let E, F be two S-scaled vector spaces.

A linear mapping from $u : E \longrightarrow F$ is a *morphism* if for any $s \in]0, S[$, there exists $s' \in]0, S[$ such that u defines by restriction a continuous mapping from E_s to $F_{s'}$. This defines the *category of scaled vector spaces.*

We denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the vector space of morphisms from E to F and when $E = F$, we simply write $\mathcal{L}(E)$ for $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$.

The space $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is endowed with the strong topology. In particular, if $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfies the following property : "for all $s \in]0, S[$, there exists $s' \in]0, S[$ such that the restriction of the sequence to E_s defines a sequence in $\mathcal{L}(E_s, F_{s'})$ which converges to the restriction of u" then (u_n) is convergent.

4.3. **τ-bounded morphisms.** Let us keep the notations of the preceding subsection.

Definition 4.2 ([8])**.** *A k-bounded τ-morphism ($\tau < S$) $u \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ of S-scaled vector spaces is a morphism for which there exists a real number $C > 0$ such that :*

$$|u(x)|_s \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^k} |x|_{s+\sigma}, \text{ for any } \ s \in]0, \tau[, \ \sigma \in]0, \tau - s], \ x \in E_{s+\sigma}.$$

Note that the condition can be re-written, in a multiplicative way, as follows :

$$|u(x)|_{\lambda s} \leq \frac{C}{(1-\lambda)^k s^k} |x|_s, \text{ for any } \ s \in]0, \tau], \ \lambda \in]0, 1[, \ x \in E_{s+\sigma}.$$

In view of our applications, for $k = 0$, we will assume that the condition holds also for $\sigma = 0$, so that u maps E_s to F_s. This assumption is unessential but it simplifies some of the notations.

A morphism is called *k-bounded* (resp. *bounded*) if there exists τ (resp. exist τ and k) for which it is a k-bounded τ-morphism.

If $u : E \longrightarrow F$ is k-bounded then $u(E^{(j+k)}) \subset F^{(j)}$. Indeed, putting in the definition of a bounded morphism $\sigma = s$ we get that

$$|u(x)|_s \leq \frac{C}{s^k}|x|_{2s}.$$

We denote by $N_\tau^k(u)$ the smallest constant C which satisfy the estimate in Definition 4.2, it defines a norm for the space $\mathcal{B}_\tau^k(E,F)$ of k-bounded τ-morphisms.

We denote by $\mathcal{B}^k(E,F)$ the vector space of k-bounded morphisms between E and F. One easily checks that the normed vector subspaces $(\mathcal{B}_\tau^k(E,F), N_\tau^k)$, $\tau \in]0,S[$ define an S-scaling of $\mathcal{B}^k(E,F)$.

Definition 4.3. *A closed vector subspace F of a scaled vector space E is called k-direct (or simply direct) if it admits a complement G such that the projection on F along G is k-bounded with norm at most* 1.

A k-bounded map whose square is equal to itself and with norm at most one will be called a *k-projector*. (The condition that the norm is at most one can be avoided but this would imply the introduction of additional constants in our arguments and will not be relevant for our applications.)

4.4. **Scaling the space of holomorphic function germs.** We denote by $\Gamma(-,-)$ the functor of global sections. For any open subset $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the space of holomorphic functions in U is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subset of U. If the open subset U contains the origin, we get a restriction mapping

$$r : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}.$$

Such mappings induce a direct limit topology on the local ring of holomorphic function germs at the origin $E := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$.

We now scale this vector space. Fix $S > 0$ and $s \in]0,S[$. We denote by E_s the vector space of continuous functions in the polydisc

$$D_s := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sup_{i=1,\dots,n} |z_i| \leq s\}$$

which are holomorphic in its interior

$$E_s = \Gamma(\mathrm{int}\,(D_s), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \cap C^0(D_s, \mathbb{C}).$$

The C^0-norm

$$|f|_s := \sup_{z \in D_s} |f(z)|$$

endows E_s of a Banach space structure and the sequence (E_s) defines a scaling of E [6, 22].

The Cauchy inequalities show that for this scaling, any order k differential operator on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ defines a k-bounded morphism.

For the scaling (E_s), we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0})^{(k)} = \mathcal{M}^k_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}.$$

Consequently, the filtrations induced by the scaling and by the power of the maximal ideal are identical.

4.5. **The L^2-scalings.** Another scaling (H_s) of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ is given by taking L^2-functions instead of continuous ones :

$$H_s := \Gamma(\operatorname{int}(D_s), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \cap L^2(D_s, \mathbb{C}).$$

It has a Hilbert space structure defined by the usual hermitian structure

$$(f, g) \mapsto \int_{D_s} f(z)\bar{g}(z)dV,$$

where dV is the euclidean volume on $\mathbb{C}^n \approx \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Now consider the identity mapping

$$J : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0},\ f \mapsto f$$

and equip respectively the source and the target with the scalings (E_s) and (H_s).

As

$$\left(\int_{D_s} |f(z)|^2 dV\right)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{z\in D_s} |f(z)| \left(\sqrt{\pi}s\right)^n,$$

the map J is 0-bounded and

$$N^0_\tau(J) = \left(\sqrt{\pi}\tau\right)^n.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The inverse of the map J is a 1-bounded morphism of norm at most equal to one.*

Proof. Denote by $|\cdot|_s$ the norm in H_s. For fixed $z \in D_s$ and $\sigma \geq 0$, we put

$$f(z+\sigma) = \sum_{j\geq 0} a_j \sigma^j,\ a_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We have

$$|f(z)|^2\sigma^2 = |a_0|^2\sigma^2 \leq \sum_{j\geq 0} |a_j|^2 \sigma^{2j+2} = \int_{z+D_\sigma} |f(z)|^2 dV.$$

As $z + D_\sigma \subset D_{s+\sigma}$, we get the estimate

$$\int_{z+D_\sigma} |f(z)|^2 dV \leq \int_{D_{s+\sigma}} |f(z)|^2 dV = |f|^2_{s+\sigma}.$$

This proves the proposition. $\square$

We now assume that the scaling interval $]0, S[$ is such that $S \leq (\sqrt{\pi})^{-1}$. The proposition shows that for the C^0-scaling, the projection on a closed vector subspace is a 1-projector.

Corollary 4.1. *Let* (f_n) *be a sequence which converges to a limit* f_∞ *in* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ *and*

$$\varphi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

an increasing function. The maps g_n *obtained by truncating the Taylor series of* f_n *at order* $\varphi(n)$ *define a sequence which converges to* f_∞.

Proof. Equip the space of germs with the L^2-scaling associated to the disks (D_s). To distinguish both scalings, we use the notations $| \cdot |_s^{L^2}$ and $| \cdot |_s^{C^0}$ the corresponding norms. Obviously one has

$$|g_n|_s^{L^2} \leq |f_n|_s^{L^2}.$$

Applying Proposition 4.1, we get that

$$|g_n|_s^{C^0} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}|g_n|_{s+\sigma}^{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}|f_n|_{s+\sigma}^{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}|f_n|_{s+\sigma}^{C^0}.$$

The function

$$h_n = f_n - g_n,$$

is orthogonal to the polynomials of degree less than $\varphi(n)$. Choose s such that $f_n \in E_s$ for all n's. We have

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} |h_n|_s^{L^2} = 0$$

and, again by Proposition 4.1, we have the estimate

$$|h_n|_{s-\sigma}^{C^0} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}|h_n|_s^{L^2}$$

for any $\sigma < s$. Consequently :

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} |h_n|_{s-\sigma}^{C^0} = 0$$

This proves the corollary. □

Here is a first relation between bounded morphism and small denominators.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *be a* (C, τ)*-diophantine vector and let us endow* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ *with a* L^2*-scaling* (H_s) *defined above. The Hadamard product*

$$g\star : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$$

with the function

$$g(z) := \sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{1}{(\alpha, i)} z^i$$

defines an $(n+1)\tau$*-bounded morphism.*

Proof. If $f=\sum_{i\geq 0} a_i z^i$ then

$$f\star g(z)=\sum_{i\neq 0}\frac{a_i}{(\alpha,i)}z^i.$$

As α is (C,τ)-diophantine, we have

$$\left|\frac{a_i}{(\alpha,i)}\right|\leq C^{-1}|a_i|\,\|i\|^{\tau}.$$

Thus

$$|f\star g|_s\leq C^{-1}\sqrt{\sum_{i\neq 0}|a_i|^2\,\|i\|^{2\tau}\,|z^i|_s^2}.$$

Denote by L the linear operator $(z_1\partial_{z_1})(z_2\partial_{z_2})\dots(z_n\partial_{z_n})$. The Cauchy inequalities imply that L is n-bounded for the associated C^0-scaling. By Proposition 4.1, it becomes an $(n+1)$-bounded for the L^2-scaling. Moreover,

$$\sum_{i\neq 0}|a_i|^2\,\|i\|^{2\tau}\,|z^i|_s^2\leq |L^{\tau}f|_s^2.$$

This shows that the Hadamard product with the function g defines an $(n+1)\tau$-bounded mapping and concludes the proof of the proposition. □

4.6. **Cutt-off property.** In the previous n, we introduced the L^2-scalings. One can similarly define L^p-scalings. The limiting case $p=+\infty$ is of particular interest. Thus, we consider holomorphic functions

$$f=\sum_i a_i z^i\in\Gamma(\operatorname{int}(D_s),\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$$

such that the quantity

$$|f|_s^{\infty}=\sup_i |a_i|s^{|i|},\ |i|:=i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n$$

is finite. Note that the filtration associated to this scaling is the filtration by the powers of the maximal ideal.

Although elementary, the following proposition turns out to be fundamental.

Proposition 4.3. *If f is of order N for the L^{∞} scaling of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ associated to the polydiscs D_s then*

$$|f|_s^{\infty}\leq |f|_{s+\sigma}^{\infty}\left(\frac{s}{s+\sigma}\right)^N$$

Proof. Put $f=\sum_{|i|\geq N} a_i z^i$, we have

$$|a_i|s^{|i|}=|a_i|(s+\sigma)^{|i|}\left(\frac{s}{s+\sigma}\right)^{|i|}\leq |f|_{s+\sigma}^{\infty}\left(\frac{s}{s+\sigma}\right)^N$$

□

Now, we would like to obtain a similar result for the C^0 scaling of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ associated to the polydiscs (D_s), just as we did for projections in 4.4. To do this, consider the identity morphism

$$K : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$$

where the source and the target are respectively equipped with the C^0 and L^∞ S-scalings $(S < 1)$ that we denote respectively by (C^0_s) and (L^∞_s).

As the map K factors through the map J (see 4.5), the morphism K is 0-bounded of norm at most equal to $(\sqrt{\pi}\tau)^n$

Proposition 4.4. *The inverse of K is n-bounded with norm at most equal to S^n.*

Proof. For $f \in C^0_s$ we have :

$$|f(z)| \leq \sum_i |a_i| s^{|i|} = \sum_i |a_i|(s+\sigma)^{|i|} \left(\frac{s}{s+\sigma}\right)^{|i|} = \left(\frac{s+\sigma}{\sigma}\right)^n |f|^\infty_{s+\sigma}$$

for any $z \in D_s$ and $\sigma \in]0, S-s[$. □

This shows that for $f \in (C^0_{s+\sigma})^{(2^N)}$ and $s+\sigma \leq 1$ we have

$$|f|_s \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^n}|f|_{s+\sigma}\left(\frac{s}{s+\sigma}\right)^{2^N}$$

Definition 4.4. *A filtration $\mathcal{F}^\cdot$ of a scaled vector space E has the (C,κ) cut-off property if*

$$|f|_s \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^\kappa}|f|_{s+\sigma}\left(\frac{s}{s+\sigma}\right)^{2^N}$$

for any $s \in]0, S[$, $\sigma \in]0, S-s[$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}^N(E_{s+\sigma})$.

The vector space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ with its C^0-scaling and its natural filtration has a cut-off property. This property is hereditary : if E has the (C,κ) cut off property then so does the scaled vector space $E\hat{\otimes}F$, for any scaled vector space $\mathcal{F}$ with the grading

$$\mathcal{F}^n(E\hat{\otimes}F) = \mathcal{F}^n(E)\hat{\otimes}F.$$

4.7. **The exponential of a bounded morphism.** If u, v are morphisms, respectively k and k'-bounded, then the composed mapping uv is $(k+k')$-bounded and we have the estimate

$$N^{k+k'}_\tau(uv) \leq 2^{k+k'} N^k_\tau(u) N^{k'}_\tau(v).$$

Indeed :

$$|(uv)(x)|_s \leq N^k_\tau(u)\frac{2^k}{\sigma^k}|v(x)|_{s+\sigma/2} \leq N^k_\tau(u)N^{k'}_\tau(v)\frac{2^{k+k'}}{\sigma^{k+k'}}|x|_{s+\sigma}$$

for any $x \in E_{s+\sigma}$. In slightly greater generality :

Proposition 4.5. *The product of k_i-bounded morphism u_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is a k-bounded morphism with $k := \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ and*

$$N_\tau^k(u_1 \cdots u_n) \leq n^k \prod_{i=1}^n N_\tau^{k_i}(u_i), \ .$$

Moreover if $u_1 = \cdots = u_n = u$ is 1-bounded then

$$\frac{N_\tau^n(u^n)}{n!} \leq 3^n N_\tau^1(u)^n.$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let u be a 1-bounded τ-morphism. If the estimate $3N_s^1(u) \ < \ s$ holds for any $s \leq \tau$ then the expansion*

$$e^u := \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u^j}{j!}$$

converges to a morphism in E and

$$|e^u x|_{\lambda s} \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(3N_s^1(u))^j}{(1-\lambda)^j s^j} |x|_s = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3N_s^1(u)}{(1-\lambda)s}} |x|_s$$

for any $\lambda \in]0, 1 - \frac{3N_s^1(u)}{s}[$, $s \in]0, \tau]$ and $x \in E_s$.

Definition 4.5. *A 1-bounded τ-morphism u is called exponentiable provided that for any $s \leq \tau$, the τ-morphism u satisfies the estimate $3N_s^1(u) \ < \ s$.*

Remark that two commuting 1-bounded exponentiable morphisms $u, v \in \mathcal{B}_\tau^1(E)$ satisfy the equality

$$e^{u+v} = e^u e^v.$$

Consider, for instance, the vector space of holomorphic function germs in one variable $\mathbb{C}\{z\} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C},0}$. Endow this vector space of the scaling defined in 4.4. In this case, the norm of a derivation

$$a\partial_z, \ a \in E_\tau \subset \mathbb{C}\{z\}$$

viewed as 1-bounded morphism, is simply the C^0-norm of a. Therefore, exponentiable 1-bounded morphism satisfy $a(0) = 0$. This condition is however not sufficient but, as one may check, if $a'(0)$ is also equal to 0 then it is exponentiable. More generally, any 1-bounded map of order 2 is exponentiable.

4.8. **Main theorem.** We saw that the algorithm for constructing normal forms involves an infinite composition of exponentials. The following result will be a fundamental test for the convergence of such products. I do not know any similar result in the Hamilton-Sergeraert-Zehnder setting.

Theorem 4.1. *Let E be a scaled vector space and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{B}^1_\tau(E)$ a sequence of exponentiable 1-bounded τ-morphisms. If the inequality*

$$3\sum_{i\geq 0} N^1_s(u_i) < s$$

holds then the sequence of morphisms (g_n) defined by

$$g_n := e^{u_n}e^{u_{n-1}}\cdots e^{u_0}$$

converges to an invertible element in $\mathcal{L}(E)$.

Proof. To prove the theorem, we start with the

Lemma 4.1. *Let (u_n) be a sequence of exponentiable 1-bounded τ-morphisms. For any $s \leq \tau$ and any $x \in E_s$, we have the estimate*

$$|g_n x|_{\lambda s} \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{3}{(1-\lambda)s}\sum_{i\geq 0} N^1_s(u_i)}|x|_s$$

provided that λ is such that

$$\max_{i\leq n}\frac{3}{(1-\lambda)s}N^1_s(u_i) < 1.$$

Proof. Denote by $C_{j,n} \subset \mathbb{Z}^j$, the multi-indices $I = (i_1,\dots,i_j)$ with coordinates in $\{0,\dots,n\}$. We have the formula

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha(\sum_{k=0}^n z_k)} = \sum_{j\geq 0}\alpha^j\sum_{I\in C_{j,n}} z_I,\ z_I := z_{i_1}z_{i_2}\cdots z_{i_j}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$.

For $I = (i_1,\dots,i_j) \in C_{j,n}$, we denote by $\sigma(I)$ the vector whose components are obtained by permuting those of I in such a way that $\sigma(I)_p \geq \sigma(I)_{p+1}$.

We put

$$u[I] := u_{\sigma(I)_1}u_{\sigma(I)_2}\cdots u_{\sigma(I)_j},\ I \in C_{j,n}.$$

We expand g_n and collect the terms in the following way :

$$g_n = \sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{1}{j!}(\sum_{I\in C_{j,n}} u[I]) = 1+\sum_{i=0}^n u_i + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^n u_i^2 + \sum_{j=0}^n\sum_{i=j+1}^n 2u_iu_j)+\dots.$$

Fix s and put

$$z_I := N^1_s(u_{i_1})N^1_s(u_{i_2})\cdots N^1_s(u_{i_n}).$$

Proposition 4.5 implies the inequality :

$$\frac{1}{j!}N^j_s(u[I]) \leq 3^j\prod_{p=0}^j N^1_s(u_{i_p}) = 3^j z_I$$

and therefore

$$|u[I](x)|_{\lambda s} \leq \left(\frac{3}{(1-\lambda)s}\right)^j z_I |x|_s,\ \forall \lambda \in]0,1[.$$

Put

$$\alpha = \frac{3}{(1-\lambda)s},$$

we get the estimate

$$|g_n x|_{\lambda s} \leq \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} \alpha^j \sum_{I \in C_{j,n}} z_I\right) |x|_s = \frac{1}{1-\alpha(\sum_{k=0}^n z_k)}|x|_s.$$

This proves the lemma. □

We may now conclude the proof. Fix $s \in]0,\tau]$. As

$$3\sum_{i\geq 0} N_s^1(u_i) < s,$$

we may choose $\lambda \in]0,1[$ such that

$$3\sum_{i\geq 0} N_s^1(u_i) < (1-\lambda)s.$$

Let us denote by $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ the operator norm in $\mathcal{L}(E_s, E_{\lambda s})$. The preceding lemma gives the estimate

$$\|g_n\|_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{3}{(1-\lambda)s}\sum_{i\geq 0} N_s^1(u_i)}.$$

Thus the sequence (g_n) defines by a uniformly bounded sequence in $\mathcal{L}(E_s, E_{\mu\lambda s})$. We now prove that this is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $\mu \in]0,1[$ be such that

$$3\sup_{i\geq 0} N_{\lambda s}^1(u_i) < (1-\mu)\lambda s.$$

I assert that the sequence with elements $\|g_n - g_{n-1}\|_{\lambda\mu}$ is convergent. To see it write

$$g_n - g_{n-1} = (e^{u_n} - \mathrm{Id}\,)g_{n-1}$$

where $\mathrm{Id} \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ denotes the identity mapping.

Expanding the exponential, we get that :

$$|(e^{u_n} - \mathrm{Id}\,)y|_{\lambda\mu s} \leq \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{(3N_{\lambda s}^1(u_n))^{j+1}}{((1-\mu)\lambda s)^{j+1}}\right)|y|_{\lambda s} = \frac{3}{1-\mu-\frac{3N_{\lambda s}^1(u_n)}{\lambda s}}\frac{N_{\lambda s}^1(u_n)}{\lambda s}|y|_{\lambda s},$$

for any $y \in E_{\lambda s}$. Take $y = g_n x$, this gives the estimate

$$\|(e^{u_n} - \mathrm{Id}\,)g_{n-1}\|_{\lambda\mu} \leq \frac{3C_\lambda}{1-\mu-\frac{3N_{\lambda s}^1(u_n)}{\lambda s}}\frac{N_{\lambda s}^1(u_n)}{\lambda s}.$$

As n goes to infinity, the quantity $N^1_{\lambda s}(u_n)$ goes to zero therefore

$$K_{\lambda,\mu} := \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{3C_\lambda}{1-\mu-\frac{3N^1_{\lambda s}(u_n)}{\lambda s}}$$

is finite. We have proved the estimate

$$\|g_n - g_{n-1}\|_{\lambda\mu} \leq K_{\lambda,\mu} \frac{N^1_{\lambda s}(u_n)}{\lambda s}.$$

This shows that

$$\|g_{n+p} - g_n\|_{\lambda\mu} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} \|g_{n+i} - g_{n+i-1}\|_{\lambda\mu} \leq K_{\lambda,\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{3N^1_{\lambda s}(u_{n+i})}{\lambda s} \right),$$

thus (g_n) defines a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $\mathcal{L}(E_s, E_{\mu\lambda s})$.

This shows that the sequence (g_n) converges to an element $g \in \mathcal{L}(E)$. A similar proof shows that the sequence (h_n) defined by

$$h_n = e^{-u_0} e^{-u_1} \cdots e^{-u_n}$$

converges to an element $h \in \mathcal{L}(E)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have :

$$g_n h_n = h_n g_n = \mathrm{Id}$$

therefore $gh = hg = \mathrm{Id}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

We now turn to KAM theory in the setting of scaled vector spaces.

5. Approximations of scaled vector spaces

5.1. **Tamed morphisms.** Let $S > 0$ and $E := \prod E_n$, $F := \prod F_n$ be products of S-scaled vector spaces. We abuse notations by omitting the index n in the norms of the E_n's and the F_n's. A *morphism* $u : E \longrightarrow F$ is a collection of morphisms of scaled vector spaces :

$$u_n : E_n \longrightarrow F_n.$$

The notions defined for scaled vector spaces extend naturally to sequences. For instance, if the u_n are k-bounded, we say that u is *k-bounded* with norm

$$N^k_\tau(u) := (N^k_\tau(u_n)).$$

Definition 5.1. *A τ-morphism*

$$u = (u_n) : E \longrightarrow F$$

is called k-tamed if the real sequence $N^k_\tau(u)$ is of exponential class 2.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^k(E,F)$ the vector space of k-tamed morphisms.

Let us endow the vector space $E := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0}$ with a C^0-scaling described in 4.4 with $S < 1$. Next consider the sequence of scaled vector spaces (E_n) with $E_n = E$ for all n's.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be such that the sequence $\sigma(\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is of exponential $A < 2$. The Hadamard products*

$$g_n \star : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0}$$

with the functions

$$g_n(z) := \sum_{\|i\| \leq 2^n,\, i \neq 0} \frac{1}{(\alpha, i)} z^i$$

define a 1*-tamed morphism whose norm is bounded from above by the sequence $\sigma(\alpha)^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let us endow $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0}$ with a L^2-scaling described in 4.5. If $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i z^i$ then

$$f \star g_n(z) = \sum_{\|i\| \leq 2^n,\, i \neq 0} \frac{a_i}{(\alpha, i)} z^i.$$

Thus

$$|f \star g_n|_s \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(\alpha)_n} \sqrt{\sum_{\|i\| \leq 2^n,\, i \neq 0} |a_i|^2 |z^i|_s} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(\alpha)_n} |f|_s.$$

This shows that, for the L^2 scaling the map is 0-tamed with norm is bounded from above by the sequence $\sigma(\alpha)^{-1}$. By Proposition 4.1, this concludes the proof. □

5.2. **Approximations of scaled vector spaces.** Let E_∞ be a S-scaled vector space.

Definition 5.2. *An approximation of E_∞ is a sequence of scaled vector spaces (E_n) together with sequences of* 0*-bounded morphisms, called restriction morphisms :*

$$E_0 \xrightarrow{r_0} E_1 \xrightarrow{r_1} E_2 \xrightarrow{r_2} \dots$$

and

$$E_n \xrightarrow{r} E_\infty$$

such that

i) $r \circ r_n = r$;
ii) *the norm of r_n, r is at most* 1 *for any $n \geq 0$;*

(The assumptions that the restriction maps are 0-bounded is rather unnatural and can be replaced by bounded but this would slightly complicate the notations and would not be used in our applications.)

We sometimes abuse notations by omitting to specify (C, κ) and avoiding the index n in the notation of the restriction map from E_n to E_∞. We will also use the notation

$$r_k : E_i \longrightarrow E_k,\ i < k,$$

instead of $r_k \circ r_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ r_i$.

The *limit* of $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in E$ is the limit of the sequence $(rx_n) \subset E_\infty$, if it exists.

The topological tensor product of two approximations is defined by taking the topological tensor product of each pair of scaled vector space in the approximation :

$$(E \hat{\otimes} F)_{n,s} := E_{n,s} \hat{\otimes} F_{n,s}.$$

Definition 5.3. *A (C, κ)-approximation of E_∞ is an approximation by filtred scaled vector spaces $(E_n, \mathcal{F}^{\cdot}_n)$ such that each $(E_n, \mathcal{F}^{\cdot}_n)$ satisfies the (C, κ) cut-off property.*

Definition 5.4. *Let E_∞ be an S-scaled vector space. The approximation defined by taking all E_n's equal to E and the identity mapping for restriction morphism is called the tautological approximation of the scaled vector space E.*

If we now endow $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0}$ of the canonical filtration induced by its scaling then the tautological approximation becomes a (C, κ)-approximation with $C = S^d,\ \kappa = d$ (see Proposition 4.4).

5.3. **Ultra-violet approximations.** Given a closed subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, we denote by C^k_K the sheaf on K of complex-valued k-Whitney differentiable functions [43].

Scaled vector spaces are associated to specific choices of neighbourhoods. In the case of approximations, these neighbourhoods depend on an index $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., we consider a two-parameter family of closed neighbourhoods of a point $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$:

$$(K_{n,s}),\ n \in \mathbb{N}, K_{n,s} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$$

depending both on an index n and on a parameter $s \in]0, S[$. We denote by $K_{\infty,s}$ their intersection over $n \in N$ and put

$$C^k_{K_{\infty,\alpha}} := \varinjlim C^k(K_{\infty,s}, \mathbb{C}).$$

We assume that

$$K_{n,s} \subset K_{n,s+\sigma},\ K_{n+1,s} \subset K_{n,s}$$

for any $s \in]0, S[$, $\sigma \in]0, S-s[$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us now spell out the set $(K_{n,s})$ that we will use. We fix a real positive decreasing sequence $a = (a_k)$ and define the closed subset

$$\mathcal{C}(a)_n := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sigma(\alpha)_k \geq a_k,\ \forall k \leq n\}$$

so that the intersections of these sets is the arithmetic class associated to a in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 5.5. *Let B_s be the closed polydisk of radius s centred at $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(a)$. The compacts sets*

$$K_{n,s} = \mathcal{C}((1-s/2)a)_n \cap B_s$$

are called the ultra-violet neighbourhoods of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ associated to the sequence a.

Our scaling are chosen so that $z \in K_{n,s}$ the polydisk centred at z of radius $a_n\sigma/2$ is contained in $K_{n,s+\sigma}$.

For any k, n, the neighbourhoods $(K_{n,s})$, $s \in]0, S[$ give a C^k-scaling of the vector space $E_n := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d,\alpha}$:

$$E_{n,s} := \Gamma(\mathrm{int}\,(K_{n,s}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d}) \cap C^k(K_{n,s}, \mathbb{C})$$

with the C^k-norm :

$$|f|_s^k := \sup_{z\in K_{n,s}, |\beta|\leq k} |\partial^\beta f(z)|.$$

The "limiting" vector space $C^k_{K_{\infty,\alpha}}$ is also scaled by :

$$E_{\infty,s} := C^k(K_{\infty,s} \cap B_s, \mathbb{C}).$$

This approximation (E_n) is called the *C^k-ultra violet approximation* at the point $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ associated to the sequence a.

In addition to C^k ultra-violet approximations, there are also Gevrey ultra-violet approximations $(G^j_{K_n,\alpha})$, for any fixed $j \geq 1$. The Gevrey norms are defined using the C^k-norms defined above

$$\|x\|_s^j := \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{|x|_s^k}{(k!)^j}.$$

In general, the canonical filtration does not satisfy a cutt-off property and this is the reason for which we introduced the harmonic filtration in 3.3.

We may now state a variant with parameters of Proposition 5.1. Let us endow the vector space $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0}$ with the C^0-scaling described in 4.4.

Proposition 5.2. *Let E be a C^0 ultra-violet approximation in $\mathbb{C}^d$ associated to a decreasing sequence a. If the sequence a^{-1} is of exponential class 2 then the Hadamard products*

$$g_n\star : E_n\hat{\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^2_{\mathbb{C}^d,0})_n \longrightarrow E_n\hat{\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^2_{\mathbb{C}^d,0})_n$$

with the functions

$$g_n(\alpha, z) := \sum_{\|i\|\leq 2^n,\, i\neq 0} \frac{a_i}{(\alpha, i)} z^i, \ a_i \in \mathbb{C}$$

define a 1-tamed morphism whose norm is bounded from above by the sequence a^{-1}.

The proof is straightforward.

5.4. L^2 **approximations and truncations.** Consider now a two parameter family of relatively compact open subsets $(U_{n,s})$, decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and increasing in s ; and let us replace the C^0-scalings by L^2-scalings :

$$E_{n,s} := \Gamma(U_{n,s}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^d}) \cap L^2(U_{n,s}, \mathbb{C}).$$

with

$$L^2_{K_\infty} := \varinjlim L^2(K_{\infty,s}, \mathbb{C}),\ K_{\infty,s} := \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \overline{U_{n,s}}.$$

In general, the vectors $z^I,\ I \in \mathbb{N}^d$, are not orthogonal in $E_{n,s}$, so we cannot apply directly the arguments of 4.5 for truncations, nevertheless :

Proposition 5.3. *Let (f_n) be a summable sequence in E and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ an increasing function. The maps g_n obtained by truncating the Taylor series of f_n at order $\varphi(n)$ define a summable sequence and*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} r g_n = \sum_{n\geq 0} r f_n.$$

Proof. The proof the proposition is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a relatively compact open subset. Let $H \subset L^2(\Omega)$ be the closure of the vector subspace generated by the monomials $z^I,\ I \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then for any $\sum a_I z^I \in H$ and any $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\| \sum_{|I|\leq k} a_I z^I \| \leq \| \sum_{I\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_I z^I \|$$

Proof. Put

$$f := \sum_{I\in\mathbb{N}^d} a_I z^I,\ g := \sum_{|I|\leq k} a_I z^I.$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, we may find disjoint open polydisks $D_1, \dots, D_n$ in Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega |g|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{D_i} |g|^2 + \varepsilon.$$

Denote by $(H_i, \| \cdot \|_i) \subset L^2(D_i)$ the closure of the vector subspace generated by the monomials $z^I,\ I \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Let $c_i \in D_i$ be the center of the disk. The polynomials $(z + c_i)^I$ form an orthogonal basis of H_i. Write

$$f := \sum_{I\in\mathbb{N}^d} a'_I (z + c_i)^I$$

we get that

$$g := \sum_{|I|\leq k} a'_I (z + c_i)^I$$

therefore

$$\int_{D_i} |g|^2 = \left(\sum_{|I| \leq k} |a'_I|^2 \, \|(z+c_i)^I\|_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^d} |a'_I|^2 \, \|(z+c_i)^I\|_i^2 \right)^{1/2} = \int_{D_i} |f|^2.$$

Summing over all i's, we get that

$$\int_\Omega |g|^2 \leq \int_\Omega |f|^2 + \varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This proves the lemma. □

The lemma implies that (g_n) is summable. Now, choose s small enough so that $r(f_n - g_n) \in L^2(K_{\infty,s})$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $r(f_n - g_n)$ belongs to the closure of the vector subspace generated by the monomials z^I, $I \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and is orthogonal to the z^I's with $|I| \leq \phi(n)$ thus the limit of the sequence $(r(f_n - g_n))$ is equal to zero. This proves the proposition. □

We may now compare L^2 and C^0 ultra-violet approximations, in a way similar to what we did in 4.5 for scaled vector spaces. Let us denote by $E' = (E'_n)$ a C^0-ultra violet approximation associated to compact subsets $(K_{n,s})$ and let $U_{n,s}$ be the interior of $K_{n,s}$. The identity mapping induces a 0-bounded morphism.

$$J : E' \longrightarrow E, \; f \mapsto f.$$

Note that for $z \in K_{n,s}$, the polydisk centred at z of radius $a_n\sigma/2$ is contained in $K_{n,s+\sigma}$, thus Proposition 4.1 admits the following generalisation :

Proposition 5.4. *The inverse of the map J is a 1-bounded morphism of norm at most $(2a_n^{-1})$.*

Combined with Lemma 5.1, this proposition has the following corollary :

Corollary 5.1. *Let (f_n) be summable sequence in E' and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ an increasing function. Assume that there exists s, A, B such that for any n*

$$|f_n|_s \leq Ae^{-B^n}$$

If the sequence a^{-1} has exponential type less than B then the maps g_n obtained by truncating the Taylor series of f_n at order $\varphi(n)$ define a summable sequence.

Proof. To distinguish the approximation E' and E, we use the notations $|\cdot|_s^{L^2}$ and $|\cdot|_s^{C^0}$ the corresponding norms. Choose $\sigma < s$, Proposition 5.4 implies that

$$|g_n|_{s-\sigma}^{C^0} \leq \frac{1}{a_n\sigma} |g_n|_s^{L^2}.$$

By Lemma 5.1, we get that :

$$|g_n|_s^{L^2} \leq |f_n|_s^{L^2}.$$

As a^{-1} has exponential type less than B and

$$|f_n|_s^{L^2} \leq |f_n|_s^{C^0},$$

there exists A' such that

$$|g_n|_{s-\sigma}^{C^0} \leq A' e^{-B^n}$$

for any n. This proves the corollary. □

5.5. **Regularity lemmas.** We will now give the arguments that ensure that the C^0-convergence implies the C^∞ convergence and even the Gevrey convergence in the diophantine case. In the context of the classical KAM theorem (and therefore of diophantine approximation), the first observation is due to Pschel and the second one to Popov [27, 29].

In this n, E^k denotes the C^k ultra-violet approximation associated to a sequence a and the polydiscs $D_s \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of polyradius $(s, s \dots, s)$ centred at a vector $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(a)$. For the norm of $f \in E^k_{n,s}$ we omit the indices k, n and write simply $|f|_s$.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider a j-bounded τ-morphism $u^0 = (u^0_1, \dots, u^0_n, \dots)$ of E^0. For any $\sigma > 0$, u^0 induces a $(\tau - \sigma)$-morphism u^k of E^k such that*

$$N^j_{\tau-\sigma}(u^k_n) \leq \frac{2^{j+2k} k!}{a^k_n \sigma^{j+k}} N^{j,0}_\tau(u^0_n).$$

Proof. The Cauchy inequalities imply that for any $x \in E^k$

$$|u^k(x)|_{s-\sigma} \leq \frac{2^{2k} k!}{\sigma^k a^k_n} |u^0(\pi(x))|_{s-\sigma/2} \leq \frac{2^{j+2k} k!}{\sigma^{j+k} a^k_n} N^j_\tau(u_n) |\pi(x)|_s$$

and obviously

$$|\pi(x)|_s \leq |x|_s$$

This proves the lemma. □

In particular, if u is a k-tamed τ-morphism in E^0 then for any $\sigma < \tau$, it defines a tamed morphism in E^k.

We denote G^j be the Gevrey approximation of order j associated to $\mathcal{C}(a)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Consider a j-bounded τ-morphism $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$ of E^0. For any $\sigma > 0$, u induces a $(\tau - \sigma)$-morphism v of G^{j+2}_0 such that*

$$N^j_{\tau-\sigma}(v_n) \leq p_n N^j_\tau(u_n)$$

with

$$p_n := \sup_{k \geq 0} \frac{2^{j+2k}}{(k!)^{j+1} \sigma^{j+k} a^k_n}.$$

Moreover, if a is a (C,ν)-sequence and u is tamed then the map v is also tamed.

Proof. The previous lemma gives the estimate

$$N^j_{\tau-\sigma}(v_n) \leq p_n N^j(u).$$

By Lemma 1.1, we can choose $B < 2$ such that the sequence

$$p_n := \sup_{k \geq 0} \frac{2^{j+2k}}{(k!)^{[\nu]+1}\sigma^{j+k}a_n^k}$$

is of exponential type B. This proves the lemma. □

5.6. **Infinite products.** We start by extending the criterion of Theorem 4.1 to approximations. Let E be an approximation of a scaled vector space E_∞.

Theorem 5.1. *Let u be an exponentiable 1-bounded τ-morphism of E. Assume that there exists $\lambda \in]0,1[$ such that the norm of u satisfies the estimate*

$$3\sum_{n \geq 0} N^1_s(u_n) \leq (1-\lambda)s$$

for any $s \leq \tau$, then the sequence $(r\,g_n)$ defined by

$$g_n = e^{u_n} r_n \cdots r_2 e^{u_1} r_1 e^{u_0}$$

converges in $\mathcal{L}(E_0, E_\infty)$ and maps E_s to $E_{\rho s}$ with $\rho = \lambda(1-\lambda)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1. We have

$$3\sum_{n \geq 0} N^1_s(u_n) \leq (1-\lambda)s$$

and

$$3\sup_{n \geq 0} N^1_{\lambda s}(u_n) \leq 3\sum_{n \geq 0} N^1_{\lambda s}(u_n) \leq \lambda(1-\lambda)s = \rho s.$$

Denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\rho,n}$ the operator norm in the vector space $\mathcal{L}(E_{s,0}, E_{\rho s,n})$. Expanding the exponential, we get the estimate :

$$(*)\ \|g_n - r_n g_{n-1}\|_{\rho,n} \leq K_\lambda \frac{N^1_{\lambda s}(u_n)}{\lambda s}$$

with

$$K_\lambda := \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{3C_\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \frac{3N^1_{\lambda s}(u_n)}{\lambda s}}$$

and

$$C_\lambda := \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{(1-\lambda)s} N^1_s(u_n)}.$$

This proves that the sequence (rg_n), $n \geq j$ defines a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $\mathcal{L}(E_{s,0}, E_{\rho s,\infty})$. □

We are now ready to re-formulate the KAM theorem in an abstract setting.

6. The generalised KAM theorem

6.1. **Statement of the theorem.** To state the theorem, we need some preliminary definitions.

Definition 6.1. *A k-right quasi-inverse of a morphism $u : E \longrightarrow F$ between two (C, κ)-approximations is a mapping $v : F \longrightarrow E$ such that*

i) *for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in F_n$, $y - u_n v_n(y) \in \mathcal{F}^n(F_n)$;*
ii) *for any n, the map $\mathrm{Id} - u_n v_n$ is a k-projector.*

Definition 6.2. *A map (non-necessarily linear) $f : E \longrightarrow F$ between two sequences of S-scaled vector spaces $E = (E_n), F = (F_n)$ is called l-tamed if the sequence*

$$p_n := \sup\{\sigma^l \frac{|f_n(x)|_s}{1 + |x|_{s+\sigma}} : s \in]0, S[, \sigma \in]0, S - s[, x \in E_{n,s+\sigma}\}$$

is of exponential class 2.

Any tamed morphism is of course a tamed map.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(E, \mathcal{F}^\cdot)$ be a (C, κ)-approximation, $\mathfrak{g}$ a vector subspace of $\mathcal{M}^1(E)^{(2)}$, $a \in E$ and M a subapproximation of E such that $a + M$ is $e^{\mathfrak{g}}$-invariant. Let F be an m-direct subspace of M and consider the maps*

$$\rho(\alpha) : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow M/F, u \mapsto \overline{u(a + \alpha)},$$

for $\alpha \in F$. Let

$$j : F \mapsto \mathcal{M}^k(M/F, \mathfrak{g})$$

be an l-tamed map such that $j(\alpha)$ is a m-right quasi-inverse of $\rho(\alpha)$. For any $x \in a + M_0^{(2k+2l+m+3)}$, there exists a tamed morphism $u = (u_n)$ of E and an integer N such that

i) *the sequence $g_n := re^{u_n} r_n e^{u_{n-1}} \dots e^{u_2} r_2 e^{u_1} r_1 e^{u_0}$ converges to an element $g \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E_\infty)$;*
ii) *$g(x) = r(a) (\mathrm{mod}\, F_\infty)$;*
iii) *for any $A < 2$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for any s sufficiently small, we have $N_s^1(u_n) < \eta s^2 e^{-A^n}$.*

By Theorem 5.1, point i) is a consequence of point iii).

Before proving the theorem, let us now spell out the different objects entering into the theorem, in the particular cases of Theorem 3.1 and for the algorithm described in 3.8

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let E be the tautological approximation on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$ with its C^0-scaling (see 4.4). We choose the filtration defined in 3.3, but the natural filtration can also be used in this case. The vector spaces E_n's are all equal and any holomorphic function germ can be viewed as an element of E_n, for any n.

We take M to be $\mathcal{M}^3_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$. The tautological approximation induces an approximation on the ideal I^2, we put $F = I^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}$. The vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ is the space of exact hamiltonian derivations.

Finally, we take

$$a = H^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i p_i q_i,$$

the maps $\rho(\alpha)_n$ are

$$f \mapsto \overline{\{f, H^{(2)} + \alpha\}},\ \alpha \in I^2.$$

The inverse constructed in 3.3 depends on the first derivative of α so it is 1-tamed ($l = 1$). By Proposition 5.1, $j(\alpha)$ is a 1-tamed morphism with norm at most $(\sigma(\alpha))$, so that $k = 1$. By Proposition 4.1, any vector subspace which is a direct-summand is 1-direct so $m = 1$.

The generalised KAM theorem implies the existence of a symplectic morphism g which transform $H^{(2)} + R$ to $H^{(2)}$ modulo I^2 for any $R \in \mathcal{M}^8_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$

The first germ is viewed as an element of of E_0 and the second one as an element in E_∞. This is of course a pure artefact since both spaces are equal. This holds only for $R \in \mathcal{M}^8_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$ so one might think that this is not sufficient to conclude. However, the Birkhoff normal form procedure shows that under the action of symplectomorphisms the germ $H^{(2)} + R$ contains in its orbit, an element of the type :

$$H^{(2)} + R_N \bmod I^2,\ R_N \in \mathcal{M}^N_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$$

for arbitrary N, therefore the assumption that $R \in \mathcal{M}^8_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$ is not restrictive.

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** The notations are those of 3.8. In this case, E is a topological tensor product of two approximations : the C^0-ultraviolet approximation associated to

$$K_n = \{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} : \alpha + D_c(\mu) + 2Q(\lambda + \mu) \in \mathcal{C}(a)_n\}$$

and a system of neighbourhoods of the frequency vector α, the tautological approximation on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0} = \mathbb{C}\{q, p\}$.

An element of $(E_n)_s$ is a continuous function in a neighbourhood of the origin, holomorphic inside its domain, depending on the λ, μ, q, p for

$$\alpha + D_c(\mu) + 2Q(\lambda + \mu) \in \mathcal{C}((2 - s)a)_n.$$

The approximation F is the induced approximation on $(I^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}\{\lambda,\mu\})^{(5)}$, M is $E^{(5)}$. We define $\mathfrak{g}$ as the space of sequences $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$ where u_i is the sum of an exact hamiltonian derivation with a $\mathbb{C}\{\lambda\}$-linear derivation of $\mathbb{C}\{\lambda,\mu\}$:

$$u_i := \{f_i, -\} + v_i,\ f_i \in E_n^{(2)},\ v_i \in \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}\{\lambda\}}(\mathbb{C}\{\lambda,\mu\})$$

such that u is a tamed morphism. Note that the exponential of u_i is the flow at time 1 of a hamiltonian vector field.

In this context, $a = G_0 + R_0$ and the maps $\rho(\alpha)_n$ are all defined by the same expression

$$\mathfrak{g}_n \mapsto M_n/F_n,\ (f,v) \mapsto \overline{\{f, G_0 + \alpha\} + v(f)}$$

with $\alpha \in (I^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}\{\lambda,\mu\})_n$.

As before, the inverse constructed in 3.8 depends on the first derivative of α so it is 1-tamed ($l = 1$). By Proposition 5.2, $j(\alpha)$ is a 1-tamed morphism with norm at most $(\sigma(\alpha))$, so that $k = 1$ again and by Proposition 4.1, we have $m = 1$.

According to the theorem for any $R_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{(8)}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n},0}$, there exists a Poisson morphism g which transforms $G_0 + R_0$ to G_0 modulo I^2, where the first germ is viewed as an element of of E_0 and the second one as an element in E_∞.

6.4. **The KAM iteritation in the abstract setting.** We come back to the iteration introduced in 3.3 and 3.8. The germs G_i (or H_i), B_i, R_i are now denoted a_i, α_i, β_i.

Let

$$\pi_1 : E \longrightarrow F,\ \pi_2 : E \longrightarrow G$$

be m-bounded projections on F and its complement G.

For $n = 0$, we take

$$a_0 = a,\ \beta_0 = b,\ u_0 = j_0(b),\ \alpha_0 = \pi_1(\beta_0 - u_0(a_0)),\ \gamma_0 = \pi_2(\beta_0 - u_0(a_0)).$$

The iteration depends on the choice of some appropriate integer N, that will be fixed later. We define

$$\phi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

by

$$\phi(n) = \begin{cases} N & \text{for} \quad n \leq N \\ n & \text{for} \quad n > N \end{cases}$$

We define the quantities

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+1} &= & r_{\phi(n+1)}(a_n + \alpha_n); \\ \beta_{n+1} &= & r_{\phi(n+1)}(e^{-u_n}(a_n + \beta_n) - a_{n+1}); \\ u_{n+1} &= & j_{\phi(n+1)}(\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i)\overline{\beta}_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

We put

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{n+1} & = & \pi_1(\beta_{n+1}-u_{n+1}a_{n+1}); \\ \gamma_{n+1} & = & \pi_2(\beta_{n+1}-u_{n+1}a_{n+1}). \end{cases}$$

Once this is done, this shows that (rg_nx) converges to a limit $x' \in a+F$ and therefore concludes the proof of the theorem. Indeed, these estimate give

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} r\gamma_n + \lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} r\alpha_n = 0.$$

Using the definition of j, we get that :

$$b_n + \alpha_n + \gamma_n = u_n(a_n).$$

Taking the image under r of both sides in this equality, we get by passing to the limit :

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} r(b_n) = 0 \pmod{F_\infty}.$$

Moreover

$$a_{n+1} + b_{n+1} = r_{n+1}g_n(a+b)$$

therefore by taking again the image under r of both sides and passing to the limit, we get that :

$$r(a) = g(a+b) \pmod{F_\infty}.$$

Q.E.D.

6.5. **Preliminary lemma.** Our estimates are based on the decomposition β_{n+1} as

$$\beta_{n+1} = r_{\phi(n+1)}(A_n + B_n + C_n),$$

with

$$\begin{cases} A_n & := & (e^{-u_n}(\mathrm{Id} + u_n) - \mathrm{Id})a_n; \\ B_n & := & (e^{-u_n} - \mathrm{Id})\alpha_n; \\ C_n & := & e^{-u_n}\gamma_n. \end{cases}$$

The next lemma will give estimates for quantites A_n, B_n, C_n.

Lemma 6.1. *Let E be a scaled vector space. For any 1-bounded τ-morphism u, any $s \in]0,\tau[$ which satisfies the condition*

$$t := \frac{3N_\tau^1(u)}{(\tau - s)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and any $x \in E_\tau$, we have the inequalities

1) $|(e^{-u}(\mathrm{Id} + u) - \mathrm{Id})x|_s \leq 4|x|_\tau t^2$;
2) $|(e^{-u} - \mathrm{Id})x|_s \leq 2|x|_\tau t$;
3) $|e^u x|_s \leq 2|x|_\tau$.

Proof. The expansion

$$e^{-u}(\mathrm{Id}\,+u)-\mathrm{Id}\,=\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(n+1)}{(n+2)!}(-1)^{n+1}u^{n+2}$$

gives the estimate

$$|\sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^{n+1}\frac{(n+1)}{(n+2)!}u^{n+2}(x)|_s\leq |x|_\tau\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(n+1)3^{n+2}}{(\tau-s)^{n+2}}N_\tau^1(u)^{n+2}.$$

As

$$\frac{3N_\tau^1(u)}{\tau-s}\leq 1,$$

the expansion of the left-hand side of the estimate is equal to

$$t^2\sum_{n\geq 0}(n+1)t^n=\frac{t^2}{(1-t)^2}.$$

As

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^2}\leq 4,\ \forall t\in[0,\frac{1}{2}],$$

we get the estimate i). Point iii) follows from Corollary 4.2 and point ii) is left to the reader. □

6.6. **Setting up the sequences.** The proof rely on the appropriate choices for the quantities which enter the notions involved in approximations of scaled vector spaces. For this, we use the indices κ, k, l, m and the constant A defined in the statement of the theorem.

There are five sequences sequences to be defined :

$$(p_n),\ (q_n),\ (\sigma_n),\ (\varepsilon_n),\ (\rho_n).$$

The first one estimates the growth of the norms of the quasi-inverses, while the second one is used to compensate this growth. The third one indicates loss of regularity at each step, that is if we start with an element in E_{s_n} then we end up with an element in $E_{s_n-\sigma_n}$. The fourth sequence estimates the remainder at each step, while the fifth estimates the error committed by taking the ultra-violet cut-off in the linearised equations.

So first, we use the assumption that $\jmath$ is tamed to get a uniform estimate for the norms of the $\jmath(\alpha)$. We define the sequence (p_n) by putting

$$p_n:=\sup\left\{2\sigma^l\frac{N_s^k(\jmath_n(\alpha))}{1+|\alpha|_{s+\sigma}}:\alpha\in(E_n)_s\right\}$$

if this upper bound is at least equal to one, otherwise we take $p_n:=1$.

The infinite products

$$q_n:=\prod_{i>0}p_{n+i}^{-2^{-i-1}}=p_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}}p_{n+2}^{-\frac{1}{4}}p_{n+3}^{-\frac{1}{8}}\cdots$$

define a decreasing sequence bounded by 1 and

$$q_{n+1} = p_{n+1} q_n^2.$$

As (p_n) is of exponential type 2 there exists constants C', $B < 2$ such that

$$p_n \leq C' e^{B^n}.$$

thus

$$q_n \geq \frac{1}{C'} e^{-\frac{1}{2-B} B^{n+1}}.$$

We now define (σ_n). First, we choose $\alpha \in]0,1[$ such that

$$2^{1-\alpha} > \max(A, B)$$

and then take s small enough so that our initial data satisfy :

$$a, b \in E_{\rho s},\ \rho := 1 + \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{\alpha i}}$$

with $\rho s < 1$. (Recall that $a_0 = a$ is our initial vector and $\beta_0 = b$ is the perturbation.)

Up to multiplication of the norms by a constant, we may assume that :

$$|a|_{\rho s} \leq \frac{1}{72}.$$

This estimate avoids complicated coefficients when applying Lemma 6.1.

Consider the sequence $\sigma := (\sigma_n)$ defined by

$$\sigma_n := \frac{1}{2^{\alpha n}} s.$$

As

$$2^{1-\alpha} > \max(A, B),$$

for sufficiently small $\eta > 0$ the sequence $\varepsilon := (\varepsilon_n)$ defined by

$$\varepsilon_n := \eta e^{-A^n} s^{2k+2l+m+2}$$

satisfies the estimates

$$\varepsilon_n^2 \leq \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon_{n+1} \left(\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{3} \right)^{2k+2l+m+2}$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Define the sequence (s_n) by

$$s_{n+1} := s_n - \sigma_n s,\ s_0 = \rho s$$

The (C, κ) cut-off property implies that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}^m(E_n)_{s_n}$, we have

$$|\gamma|_{s_n - \sigma_n/3} \leq C \left(\frac{3}{\sigma_n} \right)^{\kappa} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\alpha n}} \right)^{2^m} |\gamma|_{s_n}.$$

Note that for $m = n$, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\alpha n}}\right)^{2^n} \sim e^{-2^{(1-\alpha)n}},$$

so the sequence (χ_n) decreases exponentially faster than both sequences (q_n) and (ε_n).

6.7. **Proof of Theorem 6.1.** Up to multiplication of the norms by a constant, we may assume that :

$$|a|_{\rho s} \leq \frac{1}{72}.$$

This estimate avoids complicated coefficients when applying Lemma 6.1.

Up to changing s into a sufficiently small $s' < s$, we may assume that the following estimates hold for $n = 0$:

i) $|\alpha_n|_{s_n - \sigma_n/3} \leq \varepsilon_n q_n^2$;
ii) $|\beta_n|_{s_n} \leq \varepsilon_n q_n^2$;
iii) $|\gamma_n|_{s_n - 2\sigma_n/3} \leq \varepsilon_n^2 q_{n+1}^2$.

Choose N big enough such that the sequence $\chi := (\chi_n)$ defined by

$$\chi_n := C\left(\frac{3}{\sigma_n}\right)^{\kappa}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\alpha n}}\right)^{2^{\phi(n)}}$$

satisfies the estimate

$$q_n^2 \chi_n \leq \varepsilon_n q_{n+1}^2$$

where

$$\phi(n) = \begin{cases} N & \text{for} \quad n \leq N \\ n & \text{for} \quad n \geq N \end{cases}$$

Our task consists in showing the estimates i), ii) and iii) by induction. So assume that these are proved up to rank n. Using i) up to rank n, we get that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_i|_{s_i} \leq 1.$$

Using the definition of the sequence (p_n), we get the estimate :

$$N^k_{s_n - \sigma_n/3}(j_n(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i)) \leq \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_i|_{s_n}\right) \frac{3^l p_n}{2\sigma_n^l} \leq \frac{3^l p_n}{\sigma_n^l}.$$

Thus $u_n = j(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_i|_{s_i})\beta_n$ satisfies the inequality

$$N^1_{s_n - 2\sigma_n/3}(u_n) \leq \frac{3^{k+l} p_n}{\sigma_n^{k+l}} |\beta_n|_{s_n - \sigma_n/3}.$$

Combined with ii) , we get that :

$$(*)\ N^1_{s_n-2\sigma_n/3}(u_n) \leq \frac{3^{k+l}\varepsilon_n}{\sigma_n^{k+l}} p_n q_n^2 = \frac{3^{k+l}\varepsilon_n}{\sigma_n^{k+l}} q_{n+1}.$$

Point 1) of the lemma applied to A_n and $\tau - s = \sigma_n/3$ gives the estimate :

$$|A_n|_{s_n-\sigma_n} \leq \frac{3^2 N^1_{s_n-2\sigma_n/3}(u_n)^2}{\sigma_n^2}.$$

Using (*) at rank n, we get that :

$$|A_n|_{s_n-\sigma_n} \leq \frac{3^{2(k+l+1)}\varepsilon_n^2}{\sigma_n^{2(k+l+1)}} q_{n+1}^2.$$

By definition of the sequence (ε_n) we get the estimate

$$|A_n|_{s_n-\sigma_n} \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{3}\right)^m \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2$$

Point 2) of the lemma applied to B_n and $\tau - s = \sigma_n/3$ gives the estimate :

$$|B_n|_{s_n-\sigma_n} \leq 3\frac{N_{s_n-2\sigma_n/3}(u_n)}{\sigma_n} |\alpha_n|_{s_n-2\sigma_n/3}.$$

The induction hypothesis and (*) at rank n then give :

$$|B_n|_{s_n-\sigma_n} \leq \frac{3^{k+l+1}\varepsilon_n^2}{\sigma_n^{k+l+1}} q_n^2 q_{n+1}.$$

By definition of the sequence (q_n), we have

$$q_n^2 \leq q_{n+1},$$

thus

$$|B_n|_{s_n-\sigma_n} \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{3}\right)^m \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2.$$

Point 3) of the lemma applied to C_n and $\tau - s = \sigma_n/3$ gives the estimate :

$$|C_n|_{s_n-\sigma_n} \leq 3\frac{|\gamma_n|_{s_n-2\sigma_n/3}}{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{3}\right)^m \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2.$$

Combining these three estimates for A_n, B_n and C_n, we get that

$$|\beta_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}} \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{3}\right)^m \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2.$$

and in particular

$$|\beta_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}} \leq \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2.$$

This proves ii) at rank $n+1$.

As π_1 is an m-projector and $\alpha_{n+1} = \pi_1(\beta_{n+1})$, we get that

$$|\alpha_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}-\sigma_{n+1}/3} \leq \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2.$$

Let us now prove iii) using the estimate

$$|\beta_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}} \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{3}\right)^m \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2.$$

As $\gamma_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}^{\phi(n+1)}(E_{n+1})$, we have by the cut-off property :

$$|\gamma_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}-2\sigma_{n+1}/3} \leq \chi_{n+1} |\gamma_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}-\sigma_{n+1}/3}.$$

As γ_{n+1} is obtained from β_{n+1} by an m-projector, we get that :

$$|\gamma_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}-\sigma_{n+1}/3} \leq \left(\frac{3}{\sigma_{n+1}}\right)^m \chi_{n+1} |\beta_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}}.$$

Using the estimate obtained above for the norm of β_{n+1}, it implies that :

$$|\gamma_{n+1}|_{s_{n+1}-2\sigma_{n+1}/3} \leq \chi_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+1}^2 \leq \varepsilon_{n+1}^2 q_{n+2}^2.$$

This proves iii) at rank $n+1$ and concludes the proof of the theorem.

References

1. V.I. Arnold, *Proof of a theorem of A. N. Kolmogorov on the preservation of conditionally periodic motions under a small perturbation of the hamiltonian*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **18** (1963), no. 5, 13–40, English translation: Russian Math. Surveys.
2. V.I. Arnold, A.N. Varchenko, and S. Goussein-Zade, *Singularity of differentiable mapping, vol. I*, Nauka:Moscow, 1982, English transl.: Birkhauser, 382p., Basel (1986).
3. G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical systems*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. IX, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1927.
4. J.-B. Bost, *Tores invariants des systèmes dynamiques hamiltoniens*, Astérisque **133-134** (1986), 113– 157, Sem.Bourbaki n 639.
5. A.D. Brjuno, *Analytic form of differential equations I*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **25** (1971), 131–288.
6. A. Douady, *Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d'un espace analytique donné*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **16** (1966), no. 1, 1–95.
7. H. Eliasson, *Normal forms for Hamiltonian systems with Poisson commuting integrals-elliptic case*, Commentar. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), no. 1, 4–35.
8. J. Féjoz and M.D. Garay, *Un théorème sur les actions de groupes de dimension infinie*, Comptes Rendus à l'Académie des Sciences **348** (2010), no. 7-8, 427–430.
9. M.D. Garay, *Stable complete integrability and singular lagrangian varieties*, Preprint Mainz Universität, June 2002.
10. ______, *A rigidity theorem for Lagrangian deformations*, Compositio Mathematica **141** (2005), no. 6, 1602–1614.
11. ______, *Arithmetic density*, ArXiv: 1204.2493, 2012.
12. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. of the Am. Math. Soc. **16** (1955).
13. M.R. Herman, *Some open problems in dynamical systems*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Doc. Math., vol. II, 1998, p. 797808.
14. A.B. Katok, *Ergodic perturbations of degenerate integrable hamiltonian systems*, Math. USSR Izvestija **7** (1973), no. 3, 535–571.

15. D. Kleinbock, *Extremal subspaces and their submanifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal **13** (2003), no. 2, 437–466.
16. D.Y. Kleinbock and G.A. Margulis, *Flows on homogeneous spaces and diophantine approximation on manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **148** (1998), 339–360.
17. A. N. Kolmogorov, *On the conservation of quasi-periodic motions for a small perturbation of the hamiltonian function*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **98** (1954), 527–530.
18. H. Lebesgue, *Sur l'existence des dérivées*, Comptes rendus à l'Académie des sciences **136** (1903), 659–661.
19. J. Martinet, *Singularities of smooth functions and maps*, Lecture Notes Series, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press,, 1982, 272 pp.
20. J. Moser, *A new technique for the construction of solutions of nonlinear differential equations*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A. **47** (1961), no. 11, 1824–1831.
21. ______, *On the construction of almost periodic solutions for ordinary differential equations (Tokyo, 1969)*, Proc. Internat. Conf. on Functional Analysis and Related Topics, Univ. of Tokyo Press, 1969, pp. 60–67.
22. M. Nagumo, *Über das Anfangswertproblem partieller Differentialgleichungen*, Jap. J. Math. **18** (1942), 41–47.
23. R. Pérez-Marco, *Convergence or generic divergence of the Birkhoff normal form*, Ann. of Math. **2** (2003), 557–574.
24. H. Poincaré, *Sur le problème des trois corps et les équations de la dynamique*, Acta mathematica **13** (1890), no. 1, 3–270.
25. ______, *Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste*, Gauthiers-Villars, 1892-1899, 3 vol.
26. ______, *Sur les propriétés des fonctions définies par les équations aux différences partielles (premire thse, 1879)*, Oeuvres de Henri Poincaré, Tome I, Gauthiers-Villars, 1951.
27. G. Popov, *Invariant tori, Effective Stability, and Quasimodes with Exponentially Small error Terms I- Birkhoff Normal Forms*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **1** (2004), no. 2, 223–248.
28. A.S. Pyartli, *Diophantine approximations on submanifolds of euclidean space*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications **3** (1969), no. 4, 303–306.
29. J. Pschel, *Integrability of Hamiltonian systems on Cantor sets*, Comm. Pure Appl . Math. **35** (1982), 653695.
30. ______, *A lecture on the classical KAM theorem*, Smooth Ergodic Theory and Its Applications (A.B. Katok, R. de la Llave, Ya.B. Pesin, and H.Weiss, eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 69, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001, pp. 707–732.
31. H. Rüssmann, *Über die Normalform analytischer Hamiltonscher Differentialgleichungen in der Nähe einer Gleichgewichtslösung*, Math. Annalen **169** (1967), 55–72.
32. ______, *Nondegeneracy in the perturbation theory of integrable dynamical systems*, Number theory and dynamical systems (York, 1987), London Math. Soc., Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 5–18.
33. R. Schatten, *A theory of cross-spaces*, Annals of mathematics studies, vol. 269, Princeton University Press, 1950, 220 pp.
34. F. Sergeraert, *Un théorème de fonctions implicites sur certains espaces de Fréchet et quelques applications*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **5** (1972), no. 4, 599–660.
35. C. Sevenheck and D. van Straten, *Deformation of singular lagrangian subvarieties*, Math. Annalen **327** (2003), no. 1, 79–102.

36. M.B. Sevryuk, *Reversible Systems*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1211, Springer, 1986, 319 pp.
37. ———, *The classical KAM theory at the dawn of the twenty-first century*, Moscow Math. Journal **3** (2003), no. 3, 1113–1144.
38. C.L. Siegel, *On the integrals of canonical systems*, Annals of Mathematics **42** (1941), no. 3, 806–822.
39. ———, *Über die Normalform analytischer Differentialgleichungen in der Nähe einer Gleichgewichtslösung*, Nach. Akad. Wiss. Gttingen, math.-phys. (1952), 21–30.
40. ———, *Über die Existenz einer Normalform analytischer Hamiltonscher Differentialgleichungen in der Nähe einer Gleichgewichtslösung*, Math. Ann. **54** (1954), 144–170.
41. D. van Straten, *Some problems on Lagrangian singularities*, Singularities and computer algebra. Papers from the conference held at the University of Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern, October 1820, 2004. (C. Lossen and G. Pfister, eds.), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 324, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006, p. 333349.
42. S. Vu Ngoc, *Systèmes intégrables semi-classiques: - du local au global*, Panorama et Synthèses, no. 22, SMF, 2006, 156 pp.
43. H. Whitney, *Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets*, Trans. Amer. math. Soc. **36** (1934), 63–89.
44. V.M. Zehnder, *Generalized implicit function theorems with applications to some small divisor problems I*, Communications Pure Applied Mathematics **28** (1975), 91–140.

INSTITUT FR MATHEMATIK, FB 08 - PHYSIK, MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, JOHANNES GUTENBERG-UNIVERSITT MAINZ, STAUDINGER WEG 9, 55128 MAINZ.